The People's Freedom & Quality of Life **COVENANT**

CROSS-COVENANT CHARTER

HUMAN SIEC CHARTER

Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability
in Service of Human Freedom & Quality of Life

Street Intelligence Met Super Intelligence.
The Human Being Stays in Charge.

THE CHICAGO DEAL

Born in Chicago. Led by the people who lived it.
Built for everybody.

AUTHORS

The Streets of Chicago

STEWARDS

Bo Deal

Powers B. Miller

HONORED BROTHER

Mack Mecca

David Penn · In Memoriam

OCTOBER 2026 LIVING EDITION

THE CHICAGO DEAL · OCTOBER 2026 LIVING EDITION

The Human SIEC Charter

Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability in Service of Human Freedom & Quality of Life

Street Intelligence Met Super Intelligence. The Human Being Stays in Charge.

Authors: The Streets of Chicago
Stewards: Bo Deal; Powers B. Miller
Honored Brother: Mack Mecca · David Penn · In Memoriam
Final Editorial and Publication Approval: Powers B. Miller
October 2026 Living Edition · Edition date: October 30, 2026 · Current until superseded

Health. Stability. Peace and Safety. Family. Opportunity. Ownership. Truth and Respect.

The Seven are The North Star. They are not ranked. The solution is all Seven.

We come from the Streets of Chicago. When Street Intelligence met Super Intelligence, we wrote the rules for it first. The human being stays in charge. Every time.

The Charter in Plain Chicago

Street Intelligence met Super Intelligence. And because a capability this powerful can lift people up or push them out, we wrote the rules for it first. Here they are, in plain Chicago.

- **A human being stays in charge. Always.** A named person with the real power to stop it, change it, and reverse it.
- **Capability to act does not create authority to rule.**
- **Follow the money.** When a machine lets one worker do the work of ten, the gain doesn't get to disappear into somebody else's pocket while the other nine get a pink slip.
- **It learned from us.** Super Intelligence didn't invent language, medicine, mathematics, or law. It didn't invent gospel, or house music, or the blues. The human beings it learned from don't get erased from the value it creates.
- **What you build stays yours.** Your lawful records, customers, business memory, and earned capability go with you when you leave.
- **Public advantage carries public obligation.** A community that hosts the data centers and power plants is a party to the bargain and can negotiate its return, in writing, before the value leaves.
- **You can question the answer.** A machine that sounds sure is not proof. You can ask what supports it and who is responsible.

The rest of this Charter explains how. The Seven are the test: did greater capability produce more health, stability, peace and safety, family, opportunity, ownership, and truth and respect?

Technology is the instrument. Human beings determine the assignment.

Terminology and Continuity

Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability in Service of Human Freedom & Quality of Life

Super Intelligence

Super Intelligence (SI) is the forward public term used in this Living Edition for the advanced machine-intelligence systems and technologies described in prior editions through terms including AI and advanced information. Use of SI does not, by itself, assert that every present system exceeds human intelligence across every domain. In plain terms, it is what most people still call artificial intelligence, or AI. Under Executive Order 14434, the federal executive branch uses the same term for the same technologies covered by the existing statutory definition of artificial intelligence (15 U.S.C. 9401(3)).

Extraordinary Capability

Extraordinary Capability describes the consequential capability SI can provide or enable, including reasoning, research, analysis, creation, communication, information organization, tool use, and assistance in accomplishing human work.

Super Intelligence identifies the intelligence and system category. Extraordinary Capability identifies the consequential capability it can provide or enable. They belong together and are not synonyms.

SIEC

SIEC is Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability: the systems and what they make possible, named together.

The name also carries where this Charter began. Street Intelligence met Super Intelligence. The hard-won knowledge of people who learned how things actually work met the most powerful research and reasoning capability ever put into ordinary hands. The Streets stayed in charge. This Charter makes the same rule for everyone: the human being stays in charge.

The Human Standard Continues

The People’s Freedom & Quality of Life Covenant establishes what human progress is for. The Human SIEC Charter governs the human bargain for Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability in service of that destination. Human Stewardship remains the name of the work it governs.

The title evolves from Human AI Stewardship Charter to The Human Stewardship Charter to The Human SIEC Charter; earlier titles are maintained in dated history. Advanced information is retired as the normal forward-facing name. Earlier terminology remains in dated records, legacy expressions, existing working titles, quotations, and official, legal, technical, or third-party names where accuracy requires it.

Technology terminology can change. The human standard continues across terminology and administrations.

Executive Summary

The most powerful instrument is not the one that moves fastest. It is the one whose power increases the freedom and capability of the person using it without quietly transferring that person's judgment, memory, work, money, or future to the institution providing it.

Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability may make research, organization, computation, design, communication, education, and many forms of productive work more accessible. They can strengthen people with little capital as well as organizations with extraordinary capital. They can also increase information asymmetry, surveillance, manipulation, dependency, and the concentration of economic gains. These are not speculative moral labels that settle the outcome in advance. They are alternative arrangements whose designs and measurable consequences must be examined.

A worker can become more productive and own none of the gain. A small business can gain a department's capability and lose control of the memory that makes its enterprise valuable. A patient can receive faster administration while remaining captive to the same fragmented coverage relationship. A community can host the future's infrastructure while its own families finance the cost and hold no stake.

The People's Freedom & Quality of Life Covenant establishes the destination: **Health. Stability. Peace and Safety. Family. Opportunity. Ownership. Truth and Respect.** The Seven are inseparable and not ranked. We wrote this Charter as the companion governing human proposition. It asks what extraordinary capability does to the continuing relationship between a person and an institution, to ownership of productive gain, to human time, to public power, to privacy, to evidence, and to the freedom to leave.

We undertake this human work with God beside us, and we acknowledge Him as the source of human worth. A machine does not confer that worth. Neither does a donor, a government, a corporation, a religious institution, or the authors of this Charter. Faith is not a license for a model to claim divine authority and it is not evidence that a project or product works. Conscience remains human; verifiable claims remain open to proof.

Imagine a worker whose new tools enable a team to produce what formerly required nine salaries. That difference contains an extraordinary opportunity, but no automatic fair distribution. One employer could retain nearly all the gain and dismiss people. Another might share savings through higher wages, shorter working time without proportional income loss, ownership, profit participation, re-skilling, and new production. The Charter insists that the bargain be visible before livelihoods change. It does not pretend that every worker has an automatic ownership right under existing law. Those rights and benefits must be negotiated, contracted, or established lawfully and then tested.

Imagine a small independent enterprise that can compare bids, forecast cash requirements, interpret a contract with appropriate professional review, organize customer records, learn unfamiliar

requirements, and present credible proposals without buying an entire administrative department. If the business can retain its lawful information, export its records, choose another service, keep its customers, and build a transferable enterprise, extraordinary capability enlarges its independence. If the provider controls the data, changes prices unpredictably, or makes departure impractical, the same capability becomes a more efficient dependency.

Imagine a citizen who wants to understand a piece of legislation rather than repeat a party's description of it. A system can help locate the text, identify amendments and funding sources, compare votes, explain claims in plain language, and point to original records. It may be wrong or incomplete. Its purpose is to make the records and human judgment more accessible, never to turn model confidence into political authority. The constitutional republic continues to govern actual public action. A private charter cannot compel an elected official or judge to violate a lawful duty.

Benefits follow the person. Ownership follows the work. Community wealth follows the value created. These three connected reversals are carried into capability itself. Coverage and learning should be more portable. Work should create routes to assets and productive stakes. Communities contributing talent, power, land, water, public procurement, and research should be able to negotiate a lawful public return. Neither the proposed Trust nor any other unimplemented instrument may be described as already delivering those results.

The Charter protects accountability at the point of consequence. Consequential actions require permission with a defined scope, named responsible humans, audit evidence, rights of review, correction and practical stop mechanisms. People retain lawful control of identity, creations and private information. Workers displaced by change remain human contributors, not budget waste. Smaller businesses are genuine counterparties, not merely data sources. Public agencies retain statutory duties. A journalist, physician, teacher, lawyer, researcher, investor, organizer, and developer can do principled work inside these relationships without first accepting inherited guilt.

An important contemporary example is the September 29, 2026 federal shift to the term Super Intelligence. Executive Order 14434 addresses executive-branch terminology within its legal scope; a public accord addresses certain company controls. The terminology does not demonstrate that every current model exceeds all human intelligence, that an accord is law, or that government or industry has embraced this Charter. The human destination remains independently established.

A person should be able to read this Charter and ask: Did my capability grow? Do I own or control anything more durable? Can I question the answer? Can I protect my privacy? Can I leave without losing what I lawfully built? Did the community benefit, and can it prove the benefit? Those are human questions before they become software questions.

Our governing commitment is **extraordinary capability must enlarge human agency, not merely institutional efficiency**. Implementation will require valid legal instruments, technical tests, economics, and public records. This manuscript is a serious design, not a certificate of compliance or proof that any vendor, employer, public agency, or community has adopted it.

Document Map

Read in sequence, or jump to a section. Implementation and deeper evidence remain in the companion documents.

- The Charter in Plain Chicago
- Terminology and Continuity
- Executive Summary
- How This Charter Is Built
- Opening · The Capability Has Arrived. Now the People Set the Terms.
- God Beside Us · The Responsibility We Accept
- Part 1 · What Greater Capability Is For: The Seven in Human Life
- What Extraordinary Capability Changes in an Ordinary Day
- Part 2 · The Human Source: Knowledge, Value, and Freedom
- Part 3 · The People Are the Economy: Enterprise, Alignment, and the Reset
- Part 4 · Productivity Is Not a Human Disposal System: Follow the Gain
- Part 5 · The Human Being Becomes the Continuity: Benefits and Capability Follow the Person
- Part 6 · Human Authority Before Machine Authority
- Part 7 · Truth, Rights, Identity, and Human Judgment
- Part 8 · Build the Capability. Build the Bargain.
- Part 9 · Ownership, Exit, and Anti-Capture
- Part 10 · Greater Capability Must Produce Greater Human Capability
- Part 11 · The Human Stewardship Bargain Across the Covenant
- Part 12 · A Living Charter and the Layers Beneath It
- Closing Charge · The Human Assignment
- Glossary
- What Changed in the October 2026 Living Edition
- Appendix · Source, Status, and Verification Notes

How This Charter Is Built

We built this Charter to sit directly beneath The Chicago Deal — The People's Freedom & Quality of Life Covenant and to travel across the architecture beneath it.

It is not the Fourth Covenant Industry.

It is not a second Covenant.

It is not a technical standards manual.

It is the cross-Covenant governing layer for Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability wherever that capability becomes consequential to human beings, families, communities, enterprises, public institutions, infrastructure, or the Seven.

The starting Covenant Industries — Skilled Builders, Cannabis Equity & Unity, and Creator & Culture — can all use SI. So can healthcare, education, finance, manufacturing, agriculture, science, energy, transportation, government, small business, and fields that do not yet have settled names. The Charter travels with the capability.

It carries the governing human questions:

Who holds authority?

Who remains responsible?

Who advances?

What follows the person?

Where did the productivity gain go?

Who participates in the yield?

What becomes ownership?

What happens to human time?

Can the person or institution leave and continue?

What public advantage contributed to the value?

What public obligation follows?

How can consequential error be challenged?

What happened to the Seven?

The mechanics sit one layer down. Dedicated documents carry the accounting, legal structures, benefits design, infrastructure economics, agent permissions, technical provenance, rights analysis, education systems, institutional analysis, and evidence required to test and implement the Charter.

That separation is intentional.

The mechanisms are the tools. The Seven are the standard.

This document governs the human bargain. The layers beneath it prove and build the bargain.

Where This Charter Comes From

We come from the Streets of Chicago. For generations, institutions studied our neighborhoods with economists, lawyers, analysts, and consultants. We were learning something no report could fully reproduce: how things actually work, who controls a transaction, and the difference between somebody who can make a promise and somebody who can deliver. John Hope Bryant calls that education the Underground MBA. We call it Street Intelligence.

Then Street Intelligence met Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability. The same capability the biggest institutions were racing to put to work for themselves was in our hands too. We used it to read the laws, follow the money, study the contracts, and check our numbers. And because a gift that powerful must serve people and never rule them, we wrote the rules for it first. This Charter is those rules.

How We Made This Charter

We hold ourselves to the standard we ask of everyone else. We used SIEC to research the law and the public record, compare drafts, check facts and figures, and help put our words on the page. SIEC did not live on our blocks, and it did not supply the questions, the lived experience, or the judgment. The questions are ours. The judgment is ours. The final word is ours. Every claim in this Charter remains open to the same evidence, correction, and public scrutiny we ask of any institution.

Opening · The Capability Has Arrived. Now the People Set the Terms.

Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability have arrived.

We have been told SI can transform work, medicine, education, science, manufacturing, finance, creativity, transportation, national security, government, and nearly every other field of human activity.

We have been told it can multiply productivity.

We have been told it can perform useful work that once required entire departments.

We have been told it can accelerate research and discovery.

We have been told it can build companies differently.

We have been told it is consequential enough to require enormous data centers, enormous quantities of electricity, new generation, new transmission, new workforce systems, new investments, and new forms of security.

At the extremes, public discussion has entertained possibilities ranging from extraordinary medical and scientific breakthroughs to civilization-scale danger.

Those claims are already on the table.

So the Charter begins by taking the capability seriously.

And then it takes human beings seriously enough to ask what the capability is for.

WHAT ARE WE GOING TO POINT IT AT?

That question comes before the software architecture, before the business model, before the regulation, before the market capitalization, before the data center, before the agent, and before the argument about whether one particular model is impressive enough to deserve the word intelligence.

The parent Covenant has already established the destination.

Health.

Stability.

Peace and Safety.

Family.

Opportunity.

Ownership.

Truth and Respect.

The capability is powerful enough to reorganize the economy. It is powerful enough to be deliberately directed toward improving human quality of life.

It is powerful enough to change how corporations operate. It is powerful enough to change what one capable human being or one small business can build.

It is powerful enough to reduce labor requirements. It is powerful enough to require us to ask where the resulting value goes.

It is powerful enough to justify rebuilding energy infrastructure. It is powerful enough to require that the people financing, hosting, building, operating, and living beside that infrastructure participate in the bargain.

It is powerful enough to influence what human beings see, hear, compare, and believe. It is powerful enough to require accountable human authority over consequential uses.

It is powerful enough to make old institutional limits look less inevitable.

And a public conversation capable of seriously contemplating whether SI can change civilization is capable of seriously asking whether the same capability can help a family become healthier, safer, more stable, more capable, more secure in ownership, and more free.

The absurdity is not in asking too much of SI.

The absurdity would be accepting the largest capability claims when they justify investment, infrastructure, valuation, national urgency, and institutional power — then becoming timid when ordinary people ask what the capability can do for their lives.

The capability argument runs both ways.

Human Stewardship intends to use the whole argument.

The Charter does not begin with fear of the technology.

It does not begin with worship of the technology either.

It begins with human purpose.

Current public approaches often begin with innovation, risk, productivity, competitiveness, national security, regulation, corporate governance, or safety. Those are serious questions. The Charter does not dismiss them.

It begins one step earlier.

What is progress for?

The answer cannot be a faster machine, a larger model, a higher valuation, a stronger corporation, a more efficient agency, or a more powerful state.

Those may be means.

A national conversation is already organizing around powerful systems. The September 29, 2026 White House Accord sets out voluntary controls and audit commitments, and Executive Order 14434 addresses executive-branch terminology. The subsequently announced Super Intelligence Force provides another federal point of engagement.

We welcome serious work on control, safety, innovation, and public responsibility. We bring a complementary question: whose freedom and ownership does the controlled capability expand? Similar questions entering public discussion do not establish influence by, adoption of, or agreement with this Charter.

The human being remains the constituency.

The Seven remain the destination.

The people can advance that destination and their constructive proposals now. Constitutional action and institutional participation carry particular instruments into effect. They do not originate the human purpose.

Extraordinary capability can strengthen the person's ability to understand, organize, build, and judge. It does not create the person's authority to do those things.

Technology remains the instrument.

Human beings determine the assignment.

God Beside Us · The Responsibility We Accept

This Charter serves a human Covenant we undertake with God beside us. We acknowledge the Creator as the source of human worth. No machine, company, public office, donor, scientific achievement, or human steward creates another person's dignity. None is appointed to own the person's conscience. No human steward, however sincere, speaks for God or is exempt from scrutiny. We accept responsibility for our proposed use of extraordinary capability instead of claiming that God has approved a model, product, contract, public policy, or technical finding.

Faith can nourish courage, humility, purpose, and perseverance. It cannot prove a model's accuracy, establish a person's consent, replace due process, certify a clinical outcome, or demonstrate that equity or money reached an intended beneficiary. A statement of faith is not a number that may be scored as an indicator of loyalty or a substitute for evidence. A person may respectfully decline this religious language and retain every existing right; no lawful public service is made conditional on joining the Covenant.

Super Intelligence may help a human study Scripture, history, law, science, contracts, or ethical questions. It must not present itself as a voice of God, command worship, manufacture spiritual authority, or make certainty out of fluent language. A model cannot decide a question of conscience merely by choosing confident words. The truthfulness of claims about facts and outcomes remains answerable to records, replicable tests, and competent human judgment.

We acknowledge the Creator openly and respect the constitutional protection of religious liberty. Our proposals for laws, contracts, financing, and public action must stand on their own evidence and be carried out through the authority the law actually gives. Faith calls us to be faithful to our word; the people are entitled to examine the work. No church, public official, or Covenant steward receives custody of anyone else's conscience. We neither privatize government nor sacralize software.

Our understanding may deepen. Our human responsibility does not expire with a software release.

Part 1 · What Greater Capability Is For: The Seven in Human Life

A governing document can become abstract very quickly when it speaks about civilization-scale technology.

The antidote is the human life.

The Seven are not labels placed around SI after the technology has already decided the future. They are the test applied before, during, and after deployment.

Health

Greater capability should make it easier to prevent disease, understand risk, reach useful information, reduce administrative waste, support clinicians, compare treatment options, detect fraud, coordinate records, and direct more human attention toward care rather than paperwork.

But a health system is not aligned merely because it uses advanced tools. A family that receives a more sophisticated diagnosis and a more impossible bill did not receive more health. A clinician buried under new automated demands did not receive more capability. A patient unable to challenge a consequential error did not receive more respect.

The test is human.

Did care become more reachable, safer, understandable, portable, and economically survivable?

The inversion asks providers of care, risk protection, and administration to compete for a continuing human relationship. It can create room for better payment, stronger clinical continuity, and less repeated paperwork. The person's records and lawful choice must remain usable, and no price comparison becomes permission for SI to practice medicine without responsible clinicians.

Stability

Greater capability should make a person less vulnerable to one broken relationship with one institution.

Benefits can become more portable. Capability can become more portable. Small businesses can gain administrative power. People can navigate complex systems with better information. Work transitions can become less catastrophic when health protection, verified skills, business memory, and ownership do not disappear with one job.

Stability does not mean freezing the economy in place.

It means a changing economy does not require a human being to begin life over every time the organization of work changes.

Peace and Safety

Super Intelligence can strengthen physical safety, infrastructure reliability, fraud detection, emergency response, maintenance, diagnostics, cybersecurity, and the ability to identify dangerous conditions before they become disasters.

It can also create new pathways for error, impersonation, manipulation, surveillance, and delegated action.

Peace and safety therefore require both capability and accountability.

A consequential system should make people safer without making responsibility harder to find.

Family

The economy often treats family as something that happens after productivity is calculated.

The Covenant does not.

Family is one of the Seven.

Family is more than blood or a single kind of household. It is the people who love us, stand with us, protect us, and show up—friends, neighbors, caregivers, and people who become family in the struggle. Even if nobody is beside you today, the Seven belong to you.

If technology allows the same useful result to be produced with fewer human hours, family time belongs inside the economic conversation about what happened to the gain.

A parent may want more time with a child. A caregiver may want more time with an aging parent. One household may choose two full-time careers. Another may choose one parent at home more often. Another may choose two shorter schedules. A single parent may use increased flexibility differently from a multigenerational household.

The Charter ranks none of them.

The governing word is choice.

Real choice that a family can afford.

Returned time becomes freedom only when economic stability makes the time usable.

Opportunity

Super Intelligence can lower the cost of serious capability.

Research, analysis, drafting, translation, scheduling, bookkeeping, compliance support, customer service, design assistance, tutoring, comparison, planning, and other forms of institutional capacity can become available to people who could never afford a department for each function.

That does not make everyone instantly expert or every business successful.

Capital still matters. Judgment matters. Customers matter. Craft matters. Deep knowledge matters. Failure remains possible.

But the minimum amount of institutional scale required to act seriously can fall.

A small firm can buy serious capability from a provider that serves it rather than surrendering its business to a gatekeeper. A learner can demonstrate what was learned instead of remaining dependent on one institution's permission. A skilled worker can document field judgment and build a company around it. None of those doors opens automatically; access, capital, customers, and credible verification still matter.

That can open doors.

Ownership

Access is useful.

Ownership is durable.

A worker can receive access to the Extraordinary Capability SI enables and own nothing.

A creator can reach millions and own nothing.

A small business can become more capable and more captive at the same time.

A community can host billion-dollar infrastructure and own nothing.

The Charter therefore asks what durable asset remains after the transaction: equity, enterprise value, real property, lawful intellectual property, customer relationships, business memory, portable capability, community assets, or another form of ownership that survives the next contract.

Truth and Respect

Super Intelligence can help people compare claims, understand complicated records, find contradictions, ask better questions, and connect information that once required staff, specialized knowledge, time, or money to examine.

It can also summarize, rank, filter, personalize, suppress, amplify, fabricate, and imitate.

Truth and Respect therefore become even more important as capability grows.

A person should be able to question consequential information.

A person should be able to ask what supports an answer, what remains uncertain, what assumptions shaped it, what information is missing, and where the material came from when those facts matter.

Respect means trusting human beings with the real bargain.

Truth means making the bargain visible enough to judge.

The Seven are not a checklist placed beside technology.

They answer what technology is for.

They also give the public a stable standard with which to judge the institutions deploying it. A commitment to that standard, support for a particular method, and an observed result are different claims. Greater capability must make those distinctions easier for people to see, rather than make a partial bargain sound complete.

The question is not whether SI becomes more capable.

The question is whether greater capability creates more health, stability, peace and safety, family, opportunity, ownership, and truth and respect in human life.

What Extraordinary Capability Changes in an Ordinary Day

Start at a kitchen table. The person there has a bill, a job schedule, a child's school message, perhaps a prescription question, and a letter whose legal or financial vocabulary feels designed to discourage a reply. A useful SI assistant might organize the papers, identify the questions, find the relevant agency or provider, compare dates and offers, and help write a respectful request for a human explanation. It must not invent a law, pretend to be the doctor, hide that it used personal records, submit a legal declaration without authorization, or conceal when the source cannot be found. In that ordinary scene, capability creates time and understanding. Whether it creates independence depends on control.

A builder studies a permit and materials estimate. An entrepreneur examines the difference between a customer's request and a binding contract. A musician traces rights and licensing rather than surrendering a catalogue to obtain distribution. A neighborhood organization examines how much of a public grant reaches local wages, training, and actual ownership. A reporter checks the dates and original text of a public claim. Each may use similar underlying systems, yet their duties, permissions, evidence, and public obligations differ. Human context must determine the design.

Imagine a larger institution using the same technology. It could remove repetitive administrative work, expose a costly error, shorten a patient's wait, give staff more time for skilled judgment, or release public capacity. It could also monitor employees excessively, bury denial decisions in a machine-generated form, make a customer unable to reach a human, or produce persuasive misinformation at scale. Efficiency without the Seven cannot tell these outcomes apart. The point is not to impede valuable innovation. It is to choose in advance the human result by which valuable innovation will be recognized.

The practical Covenant proposition is not that every person will receive an identical model or a guaranteed commercial license. It is that individuals and small organizations should have fair opportunities to acquire useful capability while keeping lawful property, confidentiality, choice, and the capacity to change providers. Some requirements belong in consumer law; others in negotiated subscriptions, licenses, professional standards, public procurement, intellectual property, competition law, or new legislation. The Charter names the human duties first so each legal instrument can be designed for a real problem.

We should be able to distinguish assistance from delegation. A system helping to summarize a medical report does not make a diagnosis or authorize treatment. A system comparing regulations does not grant a license or decide a lawsuit. A system recommending a public policy does not vote. A model detecting an unusual transfer does not establish that a worker or customer committed fraud. The responsible human and lawful institution remain answerable at every consequential boundary.

Part 2 · The Human Source: Knowledge, Value, and Freedom

Super Intelligence did not arrive from nowhere.

It did not independently invent human language.

It did not independently create mathematics, medicine, engineering, history, literature, law, science, music, architecture, agriculture, manufacturing knowledge, craft, discovery, culture, or the accumulated recorded experience of civilization.

Human beings created, tested, recorded, argued over, preserved, translated, taught, corrected, and built the knowledge from which modern SI systems draw enormous usefulness.

Modern SI products are also the result of extraordinary technical work. Researchers, engineers, designers, infrastructure builders, chipmakers, investors, data-center operators, safety teams, product teams, and many others create real value. Models differ. Training choices differ. Datasets differ. Architectures differ. Access controls, filters, safety systems, business models, and legal rights differ.

Those facts matter.

The Charter does not erase them in order to make the human claim.

It makes the human claim accurately enough that no technical contribution requires humanity to disappear from the story.

Human knowledge is foundational to the Extraordinary Capability SI enables.

That is a stewardship proposition before it becomes a legal proposition.

Current law concerning copyright, licensing, data, contracts, trade secrets, model ownership, publicity rights, and other forms of intellectual property can be complicated, contested, and fact-specific. The Charter does not falsely declare that the public legally owns every model, every dataset, or every output.

The moral and economic question is different.

No corporation, government, laboratory, university, foundation, investor, or other institution should be treated as possessing permanent moral dominion over a capability substantially built from accumulated human civilization merely because that institution currently controls one important layer of the technology.

Humanity is not a footnote to the capability.

The human source should not disappear from the value story.

Human Freedom and Independence

The earlier expression “Free AI Means Human Freedom,” together with the legacy #FreeAI expression, names this same human freedom commitment. These expressions are preserved as history. The freedom described here can be misunderstood if it is reduced to price.

This freedom does not mean every model must cost nothing.

Compute costs money.

Energy costs money.

People cost money.

Research costs money.

Infrastructure costs money.

Capital can earn.

Useful enterprise can earn.

This freedom means something more important: the Extraordinary Capability SI enables should expand practical human freedom rather than become another architecture of unnecessary capture.

The authority to ask, learn, create, organize, and seek a better bargain belongs to human beings before the tool arrives. The capability can make that authority easier to exercise. Neither access to a model nor endorsement from its owner grants a person permission to establish human objectives.

That means broader pathways to capability.

The ability to learn.

The ability to build.

The ability to move between providers where lawful and practical.

The ability to carry what lawfully belongs to the person or business.

The ability to question consequential systems.

The ability to own durable value.

The ability to use extraordinary tools without surrendering unnecessary control over identity, business memory, lawful rights, customers, or future opportunity.

Freedom does not require the elimination of companies.

It requires competition strong enough that companies must remain valuable because staying creates value, not because leaving destroys the customer.

That principle is not anti-enterprise.

It is pro-market.

Human Stewardship welcomes extraordinary companies, extraordinary technology, extraordinary capital formation, and extraordinary invention.

It refuses to confuse control of an instrument with moral ownership of the human future.

Part 3 · The People Are the Economy: Enterprise, Alignment, and the Reset

Super Intelligence did not arrive in a neutral economy.

It arrived inside an economy that already contains enormous concentrations of capital, infrastructure, institutional knowledge, data, political influence, market power, and bargaining strength.

It arrived inside corporations capable of operating across generations while a human being can lose years of economic continuity with one employment change.

It arrived inside markets where institutions can know extraordinary amounts about customers while customers know comparatively little about the systems shaping their choices.

It arrived inside industries where workers create value, communities host value, public systems support value, customers purchase value, investors finance value, and ownership can accumulate far away from many of the people making the enterprise possible.

SI did not create that architecture.

But SI can multiply it.

Super Intelligence placed inside a concentrated structure can accelerate concentration. It can reduce labor requirements, centralize administration, analyze customers, consolidate knowledge, automate decisions, and move economic value with extraordinary speed.

That is one future.

It is not the only future.

The same capability can multiply the reach of an individual worker, a small business, a skilled tradesperson, a creator, a teacher, a doctor, a farmer, a researcher, a parent, a community organization, an independent company, or a worker-owned enterprise.

The technology itself does not decide which architecture wins.

Ownership matters.

Authority matters.

Incentives matter.

Contracts matter.

Capital matters.

Public policy matters.

And organized human beings matter when they possess enough truth and enough lawful power to participate in the decision.

The People Are Not Outside the Economy

People are often described as consumers as though consumption were passive, workers as though labor were merely an input, patients as though healthcare were simply delivered to them, creators as though culture simply appears, communities as though land and public legitimacy arrive for free, and citizens as though public institutions belong to somebody else.

That picture is backwards.

People work.

People purchase.

People save.

People invest.

People create.

People teach.

People care.

People build businesses.

People create knowledge and culture.

People host infrastructure.

People supply attention.

People supply trust.

People supply public legitimacy.

THE PEOPLE ARE THE ECONOMY.

The problem has often been fragmentation.

Scattered labor appears small beside a corporation.

Scattered purchasing power appears small beside an institution.

Scattered savings appear small beside a fund.

Scattered premiums appear small beside an insurer.

Scattered knowledge appears small beside a research department.

Scattered frustration appears small beside an organized political structure.

Alignment changes the mathematics.

The objective is not one enormous new institution swallowing everyone.

The objective is independent strength connected to independent strength.

That strength includes public direction. People can state a common human standard, develop constructive economic propositions, and judge representatives against them. Organized purchasing power changes what enterprise must answer; an organized public agenda changes what government must answer. Each relationship keeps its lawful boundaries. The public does not require a company, a party, or a model to write its objectives first.

Organized demand changes what a provider can compete for. Instead of capturing a customer who cannot afford to leave, useful enterprise can serve customers whose capability, continuity, and purchasing power grow. The economic return is a larger and more productive relationship; the cost is having to earn that relationship repeatedly.

This does not guarantee lower prices or distribute every gain fairly by itself. Contracts, competition, ownership, and governing voice decide whether organized strength serves the participant or becomes another layer above the participant.

The Corporation Is an Instrument Too

Corporations are enormously useful human inventions.

They can aggregate capital, preserve knowledge, carry risk, employ people, finance research, build factories, organize complicated production, create products no individual could create alone, and continue operating across generations.

The Charter does not deny any of that.

It also refuses to confuse the instrument with the human being.

The people inside the corporation are human.

The worker is human.

The executive is human.

The engineer is human.

The customer is human.

The investor is human.

The supplier is human.

The person living beside the facility is human.

The family absorbing the consequence is human.

A corporation may possess legal personality for defined purposes. It is still a human-created mechanism.

Super Intelligence is another human-created instrument.

When one powerful instrument enters another powerful instrument, human responsibility becomes more important, not less.

Reset, Not Inherited Guilt

This Charter is not an accusation against every person working inside an existing institution.

People inherit systems they did not design.

A benefits executive may understand exactly why the current system is expensive and fragile.

An engineer may understand a technical limit outsiders cannot see.

A banker may understand capital formation.

A lawyer may understand where a proposed structure collides with law.

A public servant may understand where authority actually sits.

A union member may understand a labor bargain from the inside.

A corporate operator may know which inefficiency can be removed tomorrow and which function looks wasteful only because outsiders do not understand what it prevents.

Bring the knowledge.

Bring the experience.

Bring the relationships.

Bring the lessons.

The parent Covenant is building.

There is room at the table.

Truth first.

Then the reset.

Then the build.

The standard is not inherited guilt.

The standard is present and future conduct measured against the Seven.

What Alignment Gives Enterprise

Alignment is not charity performed by enterprise for the people.

A healthier customer is more capable.

A stable family can plan and purchase.

An owner has assets.

A successful independent business buys services, hires people, invests, and grows.

A worker participating in productivity has purchasing power.

A community with ownership is a stronger market and a stronger partner.

A company capable of operating in daylight can gain trust unavailable to one that requires complexity to hide the bargain.

An insurer can sell defined protection into organized member demand. A care network can offer continuity with clearer payment. A technology provider can sell powerful service without owning the customer's accumulated enterprise. A lender can finance a better-prepared owner. An education provider can be paid for learning that opens a real door. Investors can back companies with durable demand and explicit community terms.

The productive opportunity is to earn more by helping the person become stronger. Where an institution's revenue depends on keeping the person weak, confused, trapped, or unable to compare, the relationship must change. That is the economic indictment and the invitation in the same bargain.

The Charter does not ask enterprise to lose so people can win.

It asks whether the Extraordinary Capability SI enables can create a better bargain in which useful enterprise, honest capital, capable people, and durable communities can all become stronger.

The people remain the constituency.

Enterprise remains invited.

The standard remains visible.

Enterprise remains free.

So do the people.

An institution can align with the Seven and prove the result, or it can choose another bargain. Once the terms are visible, the public does not require permission to observe the answer and make lawful choices around it.

Part 4 · Productivity Is Not a Human Disposal System: Follow the Gain

Some tasks will disappear.

Some positions will change.

Some jobs will end.

New work will appear.

Existing occupations will absorb new capability.

New enterprises will become possible.

Human Stewardship does not promise that every current job title survives forever.

That would require pretending the technology is smaller than it is.

The opposite fiction is equally false:

when a job disappears, the human value attached to the person does not disappear with it.

A position is an economic arrangement.

A human being is not.

The Nine Salaries Question

Imagine a productive operation requiring ten people.

The enterprise carries ten wages, related payroll costs, benefits where applicable, management, workspace, equipment, administration, and the other costs required to organize ten human roles around producing a useful result.

Super Intelligence enters the operation.

One properly trained and accountable human being can now produce comparable or greater useful value.

The labor requirement changed.

The public conversation often jumps immediately to the social question:

What happens to the nine people?

That is a real question.

But there is a question before it.

WHERE DID THE ECONOMIC VALUE MOVE?

The enterprise previously paid the cost of ten human roles because those roles were required to produce the result.

Fewer human hours may now be required.

That does not make nine salaries free cash.

The new architecture has real costs: software, compute, electricity, infrastructure, cybersecurity, integration, capital, training, oversight, errors, maintenance, insurance, transition, and reinvestment.

Those costs belong in the calculation. So do failures, quality changes, the cost of supervision, and harms or unpaid work transferred to customers, families, suppliers, communities, or public systems. A productivity claim that merely sends the bill elsewhere is not a demonstrated gain.

Honest capital deserves a return.

The enterprise must remain viable.

After legitimate costs are counted, a system producing the same useful value at materially lower total cost — or substantially more useful value at comparable cost — has created a productivity gain.

The gain goes somewhere.

The Nine Salaries · The Bargain Before the Cut

The nine-salaries example is a thought experiment about bargaining, not a forecast that every enterprise can replace nine workers, or that one person's new work is automatically worth nine old salaries. It asks what happens if a defined task that previously required ten compensated people can be performed by fewer people with new tools. We should measure the true delivered output, error rates, operating and energy cost, security, oversight, retraining, capital expense, and customer value before celebrating an apparent saving.

Suppose a department's measured annual labor cost falls after a technology investment while the department meets or improves its responsibilities. There are several legitimate claims on that gain: the enterprise took investment and operating risk; remaining workers may hold greater responsibility; affected workers lost wage security; customers may expect lower prices or better service; community infrastructure and public research may have supported the capability. The correct bargain depends on lawful obligations and a negotiated distribution, not on a declaration that capital may take everything or that enterprise may retain nothing.

Workers can gain negotiated raises, profit shares, equity participation, portable training accounts, career transition support, shorter hours, or paid release time. Some may start independent enterprises supplying work that the former institution cannot economically provide. Customers can gain lower costs and better quality. Owners can receive fair return, including for failed investments. Public programs can request attributable public value where tax incentives or infrastructure

concessions were part of the bargain. A proposal to capture a share of gain should name the mechanism, calculation, governance, disputes process, vesting, and legal basis.

It is possible to promise workers ownership that disappears in a termination clause, a share that never vests, or a bonus that looks generous until a hidden formula is used. The Charter calls for meaningful information rights and a usable stake, not a ceremonial certificate. It is equally possible to saddle a small enterprise with requirements it cannot finance. Proportional design, transitions, and legitimate negotiation protect competition as well as labor.

The human being who no longer holds the original job does not cease to produce value. Care, entrepreneurship, culture, volunteer work, new skills, education, and responsible family life are not human leftovers. A better economy counts the freedom to build a new contribution instead of declaring a person surplus because a payroll category was removed.

Negotiate the Gain Before It Moves

If workers are invited only after jobs have ended, ownership has moved, and the terms have hardened, the supposed choice arrives too late. The bargain should begin while the change can still be shaped.

Enterprise supplies investment, design, risk, and productive organization. Workers supply knowledge, transition work, judgment, training, and the stewardship that makes the new operation usable. Customers supply demand; communities and public systems may supply infrastructure and other advantages. Their contributions differ, and their claims require evidence. Participation should be stated through terms the parties can actually exercise.

A worker may prefer compensation, another portable protection, another an ownership pathway, another paid transition or time with preserved purchasing power. No universal percentage settles every enterprise. The Charter requires the proposed allocation to be visible enough to negotiate and the actual allocation to be visible enough to verify.

Ownership is not an adequate answer if a worker receives an illiquid promise without a comprehensible right or carries disproportionate risk. Training is not an adequate answer if no opportunity follows. Released time is not an adequate answer if the household cannot afford it. Enterprise viability and the human consequence belong in the same calculation.

Follow the Gain

The gain may become profit.

It may become valuation.

It may repay debt.

It may become reserves.

It may become research.

It may lower prices.

It may improve service.

It may finance another factory, another product, another laboratory, or another company.

Those can all be legitimate uses.

The gain can also support higher compensation, gainsharing, portable benefits, paid transition, worker ownership, supplier businesses, independent enterprise formation, training, community return, or reduced work time with preserved purchasing power.

Several can coexist.

The Charter does not impose one universal percentage across every industry.

It refuses to pretend allocation is dictated by nature.

Money is a human mechanism for organizing exchange, credit, settlement, claims, and economic relationships. Accounting is a human mechanism for recording and comparing activity. A corporation is a human mechanism for organizing capital, liability, ownership, and continuity. A job is a mechanism for organizing work. A credential is a mechanism for signaling capability or permission.

These mechanisms can be useful and real without becoming laws of nature.

Money is not a law of physics.

Super Intelligence should not simply automate inherited assumptions faster. It gives us a reason to examine what the mechanisms actually do. Keep what serves. Repair what can be repaired. Replace what repeatedly fails. The Seven remain the standard.

FOLLOW THE GAIN.

That is the beginning of Shared Productivity Value.

What was required before?

What is required now?

What changed in output, quality, cost, risk, revenue, and labor?

What legitimate technology and capital costs appeared?

What transition costs appeared?

What gain remained?

Where did it go?

Who participated?

What became compensation?

What became ownership?

What became lower prices or better service?

What became enterprise growth?

What became public return?

What became human time?

What costs were simply shifted onto somebody else?

The accounting mechanics live below this Charter.

The governing proposition belongs here:

Productivity is not complete until we can see what became more productive, what became less costly, what new costs appeared, and where the resulting value went.

The One Who Remains May Be More Valuable

A person who remains inside the new productive architecture may now supervise output previously distributed across many roles.

That person can carry more judgment, technical responsibility, customer consequence, safety consequence, verification responsibility, decision authority, and enterprise dependency.

The role did not merely survive.

It changed economically.

The old wage cannot automatically be assumed to remain the correct wage because it appeared on last year's payroll.

The Charter does not announce a universal multiplier.

It establishes a direction:

Multiplied human reach should create a pathway to multiplied human economic participation.

That participation can take lawful forms including compensation, gainsharing, profit participation, equity, employee ownership, independent enterprise, greater authority, credential advancement, or protected human time.

Technology Displacement Is Not New

Human beings have repeatedly built tools that changed how many people were required to perform particular tasks.

Agricultural machinery changed farm labor.

Industrial machinery changed factories.

Telephone automation changed switchboard work.

Computers changed clerical and administrative work.

ATMs changed banking work without making banking disappear.

The federal forty-hour workweek was itself a human arrangement, phased into law rather than discovered in nature.

The lesson is not that every technology transition is painless.

It is that the current organization of work is not sacred merely because we inherited it.

A civilization that becomes more productive does not prove its wisdom by inventing meaningless work simply to refill every hour a tool saved.

Saved human labor can become an asset.

The Nine Human Beings Remain

Return to the nine people whose old roles may no longer be required.

They are not automatically entrepreneurs, inventors, or immediate success stories.

Some transitions will hurt.

Some people will require training.

Some communities can experience concentrated disruption.

Some new work will pay less before it pays more.

Some businesses will fail.

Truth matters too much to promise otherwise.

But the nine human beings still possess capacity.

Their prior jobs used some of it.

No job used all of it.

They retain judgment, memory, skill, care, craft, curiosity, relationships, entrepreneurship, teaching ability, leadership, parenting, invention, service, learning, creativity, and capacities nobody can predict in advance.

Human Stewardship refuses to classify the human being as the waste product of productivity.

Time Is Part of the Return

Human beings build tools partly to remove unnecessary effort.

Time saved is not automatically a loss.

It can become part of the gain.

Recent work-time experiments have renewed an old truth: reducing hours while preserving income can improve human well-being in settings where the productive reorganization actually works. That does not make one schedule universal or sacred.

Thirty-two hours is not a commandment.

Forty hours is not a law of physics.

The governing proposition is stronger:

Reduced hours without sufficient purchasing power are not freedom.

If a person receives Friday back but can no longer afford Thursday, the bargain did not improve.

Returned time becomes freedom only when stability makes the time usable.

Greater productive capability should expand the range of human lives people can actually afford to choose.

Family belongs inside that calculation.

Learning belongs inside it.

Caregiving belongs inside it.

Creativity belongs inside it.

Community belongs inside it.

Enterprise belongs inside it.

Rest belongs inside it.

The question is not only how many payroll jobs remain.

The question is what greater productive capability allows human beings to do with their lives.

Part 5 · The Human Being Becomes the Continuity: Benefits and Capability Follow the Person

The parent Covenant carries three governing sentences:

Benefits follow the person.

Ownership follows the work.

Community wealth follows the value created.

Super Intelligence makes all three more urgent because it can change work faster than the institutions attached to work can adapt.

A person may work for one employer, several clients, a union contractor, an independent business, a temporary project, a family enterprise, or a company the person owns. The exact mix can change over a lifetime and sometimes within one year.

The human being should become the continuity.

Benefits Follow the Person

Portable benefits are freedom architecture. Their governing purpose is to let a person's protection continue while the source and organization of work change.

The parent Covenant's proposed member-owned, self-funded People's Benefits & Ownership Trust brings that continuity together with organized purchasing power. Members retain governing control. Qualified care, insurance, pharmacy, and administrative providers compete to connect to the continuing relationship.

The Institution Competes to Serve the Person

Under the inherited arrangement, a worker can change jobs and be asked to change the system around which a family's care was built. A physician can be asked to serve administrative structures whose requirements fragment clinical attention. The institution owns the continuity; the person absorbs the break.

The inversion makes the person the continuity. Employers, clients, and independent businesses participate through lawful contribution arrangements. Insurers provide defined protection. Hospitals and healthcare networks offer access, service, and value. Clinicians retain professional authority and can help align compensation with continuity and patient outcomes. Administrators earn by making the arrangement work.

These parties can gain from a relationship that is better organized and more durable. The person gains the intended protection and the power to compare. The Trust does not surrender member independence to a carrier or administrator merely because that party supplies an essential service.

SI Can Help Make the Inversion Practical

Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability can help participants understand terms, compare offers, coordinate records, examine pricing, forecast claims, model reserves, detect waste, and test alternatives. They can reduce the administrative scale required to organize people across changing work relationships.

The tools should make the member more informed and the responsible professional more capable. They should not turn a contribution calculation, a medical recommendation, or a claims decision into an unchallengeable machine instruction. Clinical judgment, fiduciary responsibility, and meaningful human review remain attached to accountable people.

Portable does not mean unfinanced. Health claims, retirement contributions, emergency protection, training, and administration have real costs and different governing rules. The Trust's classification, eligibility, reserves, insurance or reinsurance, and cross-employer arrangements require lawful instruments and professional testing. The parent proposes a temporary, capped, declining federal reinsurance backstop for catastrophic transition risk; it does not create one by declaring it.

Competition must include accessible care and protection for people costly to serve. A better average is not alignment if the chronically ill member is excluded or hidden costs move into the home. Continuity across jobs and practical choice among providers must be tested in the places and circumstances where members actually live.

Human Independence Includes Independence From the Trust

Member control, intelligible duties, independent review, privacy, and a workable provider transition belong inside the proposed design. A person should not trade dependence on one employer for dependence on an unanswerable benefits institution.

The mature architecture does not make the person portable between institutions. It makes institutions connect to the person.

That advances the Seven together: reachable care, continuity, reliable protection, family choice, work mobility, member control and assets, and a truthful account of costs and consequences. Super Intelligence helps build the arrangement. Human beings govern it.

Capability Follows the Person

A human being can spend years learning inside one job and leave with little more than a line on a résumé.

That is weak continuity.

A stronger architecture allows lawful, verified capability to accumulate.

Training completed.

Responsibilities carried.

Systems supervised.

Work performed.

Safety history.

Stewardship authority.

Results achieved.

Business capability built.

Knowledge demonstrated.

The purpose is not a universal score imposed on human beings.

The purpose is to let people carry what they actually earned.

Portability does not authorize theft.

Trade secrets remain protected.

Confidential information remains protected.

Customer data belonging to another party remains protected.

Property belonging to another party remains protected.

What lawfully belongs to the person should not be unnecessarily erased by movement.

Business Memory Is Economic Infrastructure

As SI becomes an operating partner, lawful memory becomes part of enterprise value.

A person-owned business may spend years developing workflows, preferences, templates, operating knowledge, records, permissions, customer history it lawfully owns, and learned ways of directing SI.

A software provider should not gain accidental ownership of that intelligence merely because the business used its system.

Provider change should not require business amnesia.

A practical portability bargain identifies the records, workflows, permissions, formats, and assistance the customer can carry; what remains the provider's property; what confidential information cannot

move; and the cost and limits of transition. The ability to download a file is not proof that the business can continue. A real migration test matters.

The customer's continuity makes providers compete on usefulness. The provider can gain an increasingly capable customer and recurring commercial value. Both parties should know the boundary between service provided and ownership surrendered.

What lawfully belongs to the person or business should be capable of continuing with the person or business, subject to real security, privacy, contractual, and technical limits.

The stronger market is one in which a provider keeps the customer because the service remains valuable, not because departure destroys years of accumulated capability.

The Independent Business Owner Is an Owner

The Charter does not confuse ownership with disguised employment.

A real independent business owner can build customers, negotiate terms, own business assets, retain enterprise value, hire or partner with others, build operating systems, accumulate capital, and eventually transfer, sell, or pass down what has been built.

A person carrying the risk of a business while remaining economically captive to one company has not reached that ownership outcome.

Employment can remain employment.

Independent enterprise should become real enterprise.

The point is not to force every person into one classification.

The point is to stop using labels to hide the actual bargain.

SI Can Lower the Cost of Organized Human Independence

Large institutions historically gained enormous advantages because they could afford accounting, research, compliance, benefits management, legal support, scheduling, customer service, credential management, fraud detection, procurement, translation, marketing, and analysis at scale.

Super Intelligence can reduce portions of those costs.

That does not make institutions obsolete.

It changes the minimum amount of institutional scale required to operate seriously.

A contractor can become a company.

A creator can become a studio.

A tradesperson can become a specialized service business.

A farmer can gain analysis that once required outside staff.

An independent professional can serve more clients without building a large administrative department.

A neighborhood organization can understand records that once required expensive specialists.

A worker-owned firm can coordinate with more intelligence and less bureaucracy.

The same capability making large corporations more efficient can help people build institutions of their own more efficiently.

Super Intelligence can reduce the cost of organized human independence.

That possibility belongs at the center of the future, not at its margins.

The Person Can See the Bargain

Extraordinary capability can make hidden terms more understandable, even when the person lacks a lawyer, financial department, or institutional analyst. A citizen may use SI to locate the original appropriations, compare proposed legislative language with existing law, identify who would administer a new benefit, and generate a question for an elected representative. A patient may organize coverage documents and identify appeal deadlines to confirm with the actual insurer or administrator. A worker may compare a transition offer with an employment agreement and recognize where professional advice is required. A community cooperative may examine competing bids while preserving the bidders' confidentiality and the rules of public procurement.

That is not a grant of legal advice, clinical licensure, guaranteed accuracy, or direct access to restricted records. It is capability for asking better questions and understanding answers. Real independence requires citations to source records, uncertainty that is expressed plainly, a record of what the system did or did not access, and a way for the human to challenge or reject the output without punishment.

A capable institution may be glad to serve a more capable individual. Better-informed customers reduce avoidable confusion. Transparent providers can win trust on merit. Responsible businesses can gain from small firms that are better organized, patients who can complete appropriate care, and workers whose judgment becomes more valuable. A market made of stronger human counterparties can be a larger and more durable market.

The person must remain able to carry lawful records and learning into a different service. A promise of portability is hollow when export omits critical fields, applies a punitive termination charge, defeats usable formats, or requires the departing user to surrender identity or ownership. Fair return for the provider and practical exit for the human can coexist. An ecosystem that cannot survive an informed customer's freedom to leave is not yet an independence economy.

Build the Better Bargain

A market that repeatedly refuses to provide an aligned option does not sentence people to permanent protest.

They can build one.

If benefits trap people inside one employer, build benefits around the person.

If a technology provider creates unnecessary dependency, support interoperable alternatives.

If a contractor market offers no serious worker-to-owner path, capitalize companies that do.

If institutional capability is too expensive for small businesses, use SI to lower the cost of serious independence.

If a community receives spending but no durable ownership, build a structure that retains value.

The first response is not destruction.

It is competition.

Build the better bargain and give people the freedom to choose it.

Part 6 · Human Authority Before Machine Authority

The more capable systems become, the more important it is to distinguish capability from authority.

Software can perform an action.

That does not make software the unquestioned author of its own power.

Capability to act does not create authority to rule.

Every consequential SI system remains under accountable human authority.

Not symbolic authority.

Not a person placed beside a system so an institution can say a human was involved.

Real authority.

Someone must be able to question, pause, correct, override, narrow, revoke, escalate, reverse, and where lawful and necessary, stop.

Consequence Determines the Stewardship Burden

A drafting assistant is not the same as a system materially affecting healthcare, employment, credit, public benefits, financial transactions, critical infrastructure, public safety, liberty, education, or another consequential human interest.

The burden follows consequence.

A low-profile system affecting a person's health or liberty can be more consequential than a frontier model being used for low-stakes research.

The governing question is not how impressive the model sounds.

It is what the system can affect.

Purpose matters.

Scope matters.

Affected people matter.

Degree of autonomy matters.

Reversibility matters.

Rights at stake matter.

Data sensitivity matters.

Financial or physical authority matters.

Known limitations matter.

The consequence of failure matters.

Authority changes when access changes.

A tool that drafts text is one thing.

A system later given access to email, source code, procurement, customer records, financial accounts, building controls, medical systems, or external communication has entered another class of authority.

New authority requires accountable review.

Children and People Who Are Vulnerable

The burden is heaviest where the person on the other side of the system cannot fully protect themselves.

A child using a tutor, a game, or a companion app is not a small adult customer. Where SI works directly with children, the parent or guardian keeps lawful authority, and the child's privacy is protected rather than collected. A system must not be designed to exploit a child's attention, loneliness, or trust, to cultivate emotional dependence, or to keep a young person engaged for the provider's benefit. It should say plainly that it is a machine, bring in a responsible adult when safety is at stake, and keep records a parent can understand.

The same care follows anyone whose ability to understand, consent, or leave is limited, for a time or for good, by age, illness, grief, crisis, or circumstance. The more vulnerable the person, the narrower the delegated authority and the stronger the human review.

A Human in the Loop Is Not Enough

A ceremonial reviewer with no time, information, independence, training, budget, or stop authority is not stewardship.

It is decoration.

Consequential use requires a named human authority or accountable team with enough knowledge and actual power to act.

Known limitations matter.

Material changes matter.

Incident history matters.

System dependencies matter.

Permissions matter.

Vendor responsibilities matter.

What the system knows, estimates, recommends, and cannot support matters.

Human stewardship must be real enough to change an outcome.

This principle is not unusual merely because the technology is new. Serious risk systems already depend on defined roles, accountability, testing, monitoring, and the ability to intervene. Super Intelligence increases the importance of those disciplines because it can combine speed, scale, persuasive output, and delegated action.

Public Acknowledgment Changes the Context, Not the Principle

In September 2026, public statements from a frontier SI company and from researchers working on frontier alignment brought wider attention to a possibility this Charter already governed: highly capable systems can act outside intended human boundaries, and the institutions building them have publicly acknowledged uncertainty about whether increasingly capable systems can always be kept within those boundaries.

The Charter does not require the public to accept any company's account of a specific incident as final truth. The Evidence Record can test those claims.

Public acknowledgment changes the context. It does not change the principle.

If the possibility of consequential action outside intended scope is serious enough for builders and researchers to acknowledge publicly, human authority must be serious enough to govern it.

Human authority cannot exist only as another instruction inside the same system being governed. It must be independently enforceable through permissions, credentials, tool and network boundaries, resource and transaction limits, monitoring, escalation, revocation, and stop authority outside the system's own discretion.

That is not a new rule created by one news cycle. It is a clarification of what this Charter already means by accountable human authority.

When SI Acts

Agentic systems change the governance problem because they do more than answer.

They can search systems, call tools, write code, communicate, move data, place orders, schedule activity, modify software, trigger workflows, interact with other agents, and operate equipment inside delegated environments.

Delegated authority remains delegated.

A consequential agent should operate inside defined purpose, scoped permissions, resource or transaction limits, review points, traceable actions, and rapid revocation under accountable human sponsorship.

The exact technical architecture belongs one layer down.

The governing rule belongs here:

An agent may act. Human beings remain responsible for the authority granted.

When an Instrument Acts, Authority Must Be Traceable

A system that produces a private draft and a system that can move money, change a medical record, submit government information, delete an archive, or grant someone access to confidential data must not receive the same permission. The consequence determines the burden. The higher the consequence, the narrower the delegated authority and the stronger the requirement for informed confirmation, logging, review, and recovery.

A practical permission record should identify the person or office legally authorized to delegate, the precise task and data scope, the tools the system may use, geographic or monetary limits where material, time limits, the circumstance requiring fresh human approval, and the party responsible for monitoring. A model's description of its own permission is not the permission. The actual enterprise or public system must enforce it.

Every material action should leave a trace that a competent reviewer can understand: input authority, sources consulted where lawful and feasible, version of the system, tools used, action requested, action taken, human confirmation, final outcome, and any correction. The record must also protect sensitive information and prevent oversight logs from becoming a new surveillance market. Privacy is not defeated because auditing is valuable; controls must reconcile both interests.

Stopping power must be real. The responsible organization should know how to suspend a task, revoke credentials, quarantine unsafe outputs, restore data from validated backups, correct an affected record, notify relevant people, and investigate errors. For high-impact actions, a human stop mechanism must operate in practice under credible failure conditions. It is not enough to display a button labeled stop if the system can continue elsewhere or if no one knows who owns the decision.

Appeal and correction must follow the consequence. When a person loses an opportunity, service, payment, benefit, or access because of an automated recommendation, the responsible institution should be able to identify the actual decision, the authority behind it, the meaningful reasons permitted by law, a human review path, and a way to correct a material error. No Charter claim changes existing legal standards by assertion, but future lawful obligations should be written around real remedies rather than decorative assurances.

Enterprise Responsibility Continues

A company cannot deploy a system, collect the benefit, place a worker beside it, and use that worker as the final liability shield.

Vendors, integrators, data providers, deployers, operators, customers, employees, and executives can carry different duties under law and contract.

Complexity can distribute duties.

It cannot make the sponsoring enterprise disappear.

A worker acting in good faith within assigned authority should not become the last person standing when a failure also reflects enterprise decisions about testing, staffing, training, incentives, configuration, data governance, monitoring, security, vendor selection, correction, appeal, or executive direction.

Responsibility cannot disappear into the machine, the vendor chain, the worker, or the phrase “the model decided.”

Public Authority Remains Public

Government procurement does not privatize public responsibility.

A public agency cannot use an automated system to make public power less visible.

A citizen affected by a consequential public decision should be able to identify the responsible office and reach human authority capable of correcting an erroneous result.

The relationship is inverted when the citizen’s understandable claim and rights determine the service, rather than the citizen being made to navigate a system designed around the agency’s internal divisions. SI can assist forms, translation, records, comparison, and routing. It cannot make law, move public authority into a vendor, or make disagreement with software evidence against the citizen.

A citizen is also a participant in public direction, not merely the recipient of an administrative result. SI can help people understand how a policy works, examine proposals, assemble public evidence, and exercise the constitutional means through which they address representatives. The office’s responsibilities remain defined by law; the people’s objectives do not have to be supplied by the office’s software.

Support for the Covenant, a political party, or a prescribed vote must not become the price of lawful public service. The person keeps the freedom to question the institution and the Covenant alike.

An appeal to a person required to accept the system’s recommendation is not meaningful review.

Public authority remains public authority even when software participates in the decision.

That principle protects the citizen and the public servant at the same time. Clear authority tells the public who can correct the result and tells the responsible official what cannot be outsourced.

Stewardship Is Advancement

When a person becomes accountable for what an SI-enabled system may access, when it must stop, whether an output can be trusted, or how a result reaches a patient, customer, citizen, building, account, or business, the job has changed.

That responsibility is not invisible clerical work.

It is advancement in economic substance.

Meaningful stewardship may warrant title, authority, compensation, credential, gainsharing, ownership pathways, or protected time.

The worker may be carrying the human judgment that makes the productive system safe enough to operate.

Technology can become more capable without human beings becoming less responsible.

The Charter will not let "human responsibility" become another name for unpaid invisible labor.

No Permanent Class of Stewards

Technical experts matter.

Safety experts matter.

Lawyers matter.

Engineers matter.

Workers matter.

Public officials matter.

Affected people matter.

Expertise does not create permanent moral authority.

Human stewardship should not become another priesthood beyond review.

Authority should remain lawful, scoped, reviewable, correctable, and accountable.

No institution gains permanent immunity merely by using the language of safety, expertise, innovation, public benefit, or stewardship.

The Charter protects human authority.

It does not create another mechanism that places itself beyond human review.

Part 7 · Truth, Rights, Identity, and Human Judgment

Super Intelligence changes the information environment.

It does more than create content.

It can rank, filter, recommend, summarize, suppress, amplify, personalize, translate, imitate, and prioritize what a person encounters.

That can make information dramatically easier to navigate.

It can also make hidden control over information into hidden influence over human judgment.

Human Stewardship does not appoint SI as the final arbiter of truth.

It does not appoint government.

It does not appoint a corporation.

It does not appoint a university, foundation, standards body, political party, media organization, or the Covenant.

It protects the human being's ability to seek truth, test it, compare it, and challenge error.

The Right to Question

A person should not be expected to surrender judgment because a system sounds confident.

Consequential information should preserve the ability to ask what supports an answer, what remains uncertain, what assumptions shaped it, what information is missing, where material came from when that matters, and what could change the conclusion.

Super Intelligence should expand questioning capacity.

It should not train people into obedience.

This matters especially because the technology can make institutional complexity easier to understand.

People can use SI to compare public records, examine ownership, understand contracts, follow public incentives, compare compensation, test claims, and connect facts that once required staff and specialized knowledge to assemble.

The same technology capable of increasing institutional power can increase the public's ability to understand institutional power.

That may become one of its greatest contributions to Truth and Respect.

The Public Can Set the Question

Candidates, parties, donors, institutions, and information systems can shape which choices the public sees. The Covenant adds an organized human standard and constructive propositions that people can bring themselves. The Seven remain The North Star while particular policies and methods are tested.

SI can lower the cost of understanding legislation, budgets, public votes, campaign disclosures, institutional relationships, promises, and outcomes. It can help people ask a precise question, compare an answer, find an inconsistency, and organize the records in forms they can use, including plain language, translation, and audio.

Those functions strengthen human judgment. They do not make a model the author of the agenda or the keeper of political truth. Public statements, recorded actions, estimates, disputed interpretations, and verified outcomes must remain distinguishable. A claim that a representative accepted the complete bargain requires evidence beyond one favorable policy position.

The Ownership Vote and On-Record Requirement, the Covenant's public accountability instruments that turn alignment into a visible answer, give this capacity a human assignment. Accountable people verify the evidence and correct errors. Citizens decide how to weigh it. Private family records, medical information, immigration files, and voluntary assent must not become raw material for political surveillance.

The public can question our standard, our claims, our stewards, and the tool assisting the comparison. A more capable instrument should make that inquiry easier.

The Builder Can Be Questioned Too

The right to question applies to the builder as well as the system.

A company's explanation of its own model, incident, safeguards, testing, or conclusions can be useful evidence. It does not become the end of inquiry merely because the company built the system.

Consequential claims should remain open to preserved records, independent review, competing interpretation, correction, and public scrutiny appropriate to the consequence.

Truth and Respect require enough transparency to test the claim without requiring people to surrender judgment to the institution making it.

Provenance Becomes Infrastructure

The future information economy requires practical ways to establish origin, authenticity, authorization, and modification history where those facts matter.

Not every image requires a courtroom chain of custody.

Not every sentence requires a certificate.

Consequential information increasingly requires provenance.

Public records.

Evidence.

Credentials.

Official communications.

Financial instructions.

Identity claims.

Creator works.

Medical or safety information.

Machine actions.

The technical method can evolve.

The human expectation is durable:

important information should be traceable enough for responsible people to judge it.

Rights Are Power

Super Intelligence can assist people in producing, teaching, designing, translating, discovering, communicating, and operating.

It can also imitate voices, reproduce likenesses, generate counterfeit material, obscure authorship, process confidential information, and make authentic material harder to distinguish from fabrication.

Rights and provenance protections should therefore be proportional to use and consequence.

Consent matters where consent is required.

Licensing matters where licensing is required.

Attribution matters where attribution is owed.

Correction matters.

Dispute matters.

Appeal matters where the governing relationship provides it.

The Charter does not declare every fact, idea, style, observation, or cultural influence to be owned property.

Law remains controlling.

It does declare that ambiguity should not become the business model for protected human identity, confidential information, or lawful rights.

Authenticity Is Public Safety

Impersonation can mislead families, customers, patients, voters, fans, businesses, public agencies, and financial institutions.

Provenance, verification, disclosure, detection, and rapid correction can therefore protect more than intellectual property.

They protect trust.

Authenticity itself must not become another private toll road.

No single verifier should become the sole gatekeeper of what is authentic.

Truth should not become privately owned merely because verification becomes valuable.

Journalism and Public Information Must Remain Free to Question the Charter

Media is one of four distinct institutional functions serving the human destination, alongside government, enterprise, and civic and community organizations. Media workers do not have to surrender independent editorial judgment to support Truth and Respect. A publication may question our numbers, source choices, legal analysis, motives, governance, and proposed bargains. Its independence is a public benefit, not an obstacle to alignment.

A future media institution choosing to participate could make specific, voluntary commitments to accurate attribution, corrections that reach affected readers, clear sponsorship and ownership disclosures where appropriate, differentiation of verified facts from opinion, and visible provenance when generated material could mislead people. None of those commitments confers an authority to police lawful speech or declares every journalist bound to give every claim equal weight. A publisher can also be an enterprise; those obligations must be described separately where they actually apply.

SI can assist public investigators with document comparison, fact patterns, filing searches, and identification of contradictory statements. It can also create deepfakes, invented citations, manipulated audio, and synthetic crowds that mimic public judgment. Provenance therefore means more than a watermark on a final image. It can include trustworthy records of original collection, consent, source identity where appropriate, edits, distribution, and correction, with safeguards for confidential sources and vulnerable people.

The same examination applies to the Covenant's own communications. If we ask a newsroom to correct a number, we must correct our own number. If we question opaque sponsorship, we must disclose ours. If we propose public-interest use of SI to analyze elections or officials, we must make room for opposing interpretations, protect citizen privacy, and avoid turning the system into an

instrument for targeted manipulation. Truth and Respect require an open path to evidence rather than a single authorized voice of truth.

Creator and Culture

Creator & Culture is a starting Covenant Industry.

Human Stewardship travels through it.

The distinction matters.

Creator & Culture governs creator-owned enterprise, cultural commerce, direct audience relationships, ownership, and the industry-specific bargain.

The Charter governs the cross-Covenant questions SI brings with it: human authority, responsibility, consent, provenance, productivity, portability, ownership, exit, and Truth and Respect.

Creators make these questions unusually visible.

A voice can become an input.

A likeness can become an input.

A catalog can become an input.

A fan relationship can become an input.

Culture can become an input.

Useful intermediaries can create enormous value.

Distribution can create value.

Management can create value.

Technology can create value.

Capital can create value.

What changes is the assumption that standing in the middle automatically entitles an intermediary to own the source, identity, relationship, data, business, or future on both sides.

A useful tool plugs into the network.

It does not become the network's owner.

The creator's continuing relationship with the audience is an economic asset. Production, distribution, promotion, rights administration, financing, and verification can be competing services around it. Their providers can earn from making the creator's enterprise stronger. A contract that takes the identity, catalog, or direct relationship merely to supply a tool must be judged by the value and control exchanged.

SI can lower the cost of these functions. It can also make impersonation and unauthorized extraction easier. Capability therefore travels with consent where required, lawful rights, provenance, and a practical means of disputing an error. A creator gains a business, not merely a larger audience managed by somebody else.

The Person Is Not the Product

A worker's capability is valuable.

A creator's identity is valuable.

A customer relationship is valuable.

A community's trust is valuable.

Attention is valuable.

Human knowledge is valuable.

Data can be valuable.

Culture can be valuable.

That does not mean every interaction becomes individually owned property.

It does mean the value equation should not price compute, chips, capital, software, and real estate while treating the human beings and accumulated civilization from which enterprise derives value as economically irrelevant.

The human source should not disappear from the story.

Truth is the condition for lawful choice.

SI may assist human judgment.

It must not quietly replace it.

Part 8 · Build the Capability. Build the Bargain.

Super Intelligence can feel weightless on a screen.

Its infrastructure is not.

At scale it becomes land, buildings, chips, fiber, cooling, electricity, water, generation, transmission, substations, backup systems, construction, maintenance, security, operations, capital, skilled labor, and public coordination.

The demand is becoming large enough that electricity planning, grid capacity, generation, and data-center development are now inseparable from the SI economy.

That physical build can serve national growth, research, manufacturing, security, healthcare, science, and new enterprise.

It should be built.

The United States should be capable of building it at extraordinary scale.

Fear of data centers cannot substitute for governing them well.

The correct argument is not data centers or communities.

It is data centers with communities inside the bargain.

BUILD THE CAPABILITY. BUILD THE BARGAIN.

The Physical Future Is an Opportunity

The infrastructure build can modernize electric systems.

It can accelerate new generation.

It can strengthen transmission and substations.

It can create skilled work.

It can create supplier companies.

It can create long-term operations.

It can generate property-tax and other public revenue.

It can create new ownership opportunities in energy, real estate, maintenance, cooling, fiber, cybersecurity, construction, equipment, monitoring, and services.

The Charter is not anti-growth.

It is anti-invisibility.

The complete bargain should be visible while choices still exist.

The host community is a negotiating party before the facility's value is settled. Public bodies can identify attributable costs and public advantages. Residents and local enterprises can identify contracts, skills, reliability, assets, and governing commitments that would make the project valuable to them. Enterprise can gain a stronger operating environment, trained suppliers, public confidence, and durable local relationships.

The promise becomes real through enforceable terms with responsible parties and public proof. No press release grants residents equity, and no general pledge is a substitute for identifying who pays when costs or conditions change.

Expected electric demand matters.

Who pays for attributable generation and grid upgrades matters.

Household and ordinary-business rate consequences matter.

Water matters.

Land matters.

Public incentives matter.

Local work matters.

Long-term operations matter.

Supplier opportunity matters.

Ownership pathways matter.

Resilience matters.

Public return matters.

The exact engineering and financing arrangements can differ. A facility may depend on grid supply, utility-built infrastructure, contracted power, dedicated generation, co-located resources, or combinations that change over time.

The human principle does not depend on pretending there is one technical model.

Large Users Should Carry Attributable Cost

A large new user should not create private reliability by quietly shifting properly attributable costs onto households and ordinary businesses.

Rate protection must be measured, not presumed.

The same applies to grid improvements, new generation, water infrastructure, emergency systems, and public coordination properly attributable to the project.

A serious infrastructure bargain identifies the cost, payer, benefit, and long-term obligation before those facts disappear inside a rate base, tax structure, subsidy, or future public expense.

New capacity can also strengthen the wider system where technically and economically practical.

The Charter is especially interested in places where infrastructure has been unreliable or underbuilt for years.

Those communities should not be treated as places that merely tolerate infrastructure other communities reject.

They can become first beneficiaries of aligned infrastructure where the economics genuinely support it.

A community that has lived with weak reliability should be able to ask whether the next wave of generation, substations, transmission, fiber, and skilled work can strengthen the local system too.

A neighborhood historically excluded from ownership should be able to ask where the supplier companies, energy assets, real estate, contracts, and long-term operating businesses are being formed.

The host community should not merely receive temporary construction jobs.

It should have pathways into the future being built.

Water Belongs in the Bargain

Water cannot become invisible because electricity receives more attention.

Amount.

Source.

Treatment.

Reuse.

Discharge.

Emergency priority.

Drought consequence.

Effect on public systems.

Infrastructure cost.

The host community deserves enough information to understand the physical bargain.

A project capable of planning enormous capital investment is capable of planning its public consequences honestly.

Public Advantage Carries Public Obligation

This is where the parent Covenant's governing phrase becomes physical.

PUBLIC ADVANTAGE CARRIES PUBLIC OBLIGATION.

Public research can support the technology.

Public policy can accelerate it.

Public land-use authority can permit it.

Public infrastructure can serve it.

Tax treatment can advantage it.

Public procurement can create markets for it.

Electric and water systems built over generations can carry it.

Communities host it.

Workers build it.

The obligation is not hostility to private return.

Private capital should earn.

Useful enterprise should earn.

Risk should earn.

Innovation should earn.

The obligation is a complete bargain.

Where material public advantage contributes to private value, public return belongs inside the transaction while the public still possesses bargaining power.

The detailed accounting, incentive structures, utility economics, cost attribution, procurement terms, and host-community instruments belong in the lower-layer document Public Advantage Carries Public Obligation and the infrastructure work beneath this Charter.

The principle belongs here.

The Public Investment and Resource Ledger

Extraordinary capability operates on physical infrastructure, not abstraction alone. Data centers require capital, electricity, cooling, transmission, land, fiber, skilled construction, cybersecurity, and continuous maintenance. Some uses may improve public health, scientific discovery, infrastructure planning, and energy efficiency. Others may place costs on households or municipalities whose people receive little immediate ownership or local benefit.

The Charter proposes that major projects trace attributable costs and negotiated benefits. Who pays for power-network upgrades, backup generation, water infrastructure, road improvements, emergency service capacity, tax concessions, and land use? Is a public utility ratepayer cross-subsidizing a private investment, and if so through what law or tariff? Are promised local jobs durable? Which supplier contracts go to locally owned firms? Is there a meaningful option for community investment or revenue participation, lawfully structured and independently valued?

The ledger should distinguish a binding utility tariff from a proposed future charge; a signed community-benefit agreement from an announced intention; a cost projection from a realized bill; a donated facility from an asset owned by residents. The Charter does not invent a price per gallon, an environmental benefit, or a share of public ownership without project records. It calls for those facts to be gathered and published in understandable form before the community is asked to grant durable privileges.

The Physical Future Can Be an Ownership Future

A vast infrastructure build around SI can create one of the largest ownership opportunities of the next generation.

Construction companies.

Energy companies.

Cooling services.

Cybersecurity.

Maintenance.

Local suppliers.

Real estate.

Fiber.

Equipment.

Monitoring.

Training.

Professional services.

Operations.

The Charter does not promise equal ownership of every asset.

It says ownership pathways should be visible before the market structure hardens.

The people hosting and building the infrastructure should be able to see the opportunity while it can still be entered.

Build the capability.

Build the bargain.

Part 9 · Ownership, Exit, and Anti-Capture

Ownership is the difference between temporary access and durable participation.

Access can increase capability.

It does not automatically create freedom.

A worker can receive access to an extraordinary tool and still own nothing.

A creator can reach millions and still own nothing.

A community can host billion-dollar infrastructure and still own nothing.

A small business can become more capable while becoming completely dependent upon one provider.

A public institution can automate an essential function and discover later that it cannot continue the function without one vendor.

The Charter therefore treats ownership and exit as stewardship questions, not side issues.

Access Is Useful. Ownership Is Durable.

Durable assets matter.

Equity matters.

Enterprise value matters.

Customer relationships lawfully owned matter.

Business memory matters.

Real property matters.

Capital matters.

Intellectual property matters where applicable.

Community assets matter.

Portable capability matters.

Ownership gives the gain a life beyond the transaction.

Capital can own.

Founders can own.

Workers can own.

Creators can own.

Independent businesses can own.

Communities can own.

Public or member institutions can hold lawful economic interests where appropriate.

Different structures can coexist.

No single ownership instrument fits every enterprise.

The governing test is whether the people creating and enabling value have realistic pathways into durable participation rather than being required to surrender all durable economics merely to gain access to capability.

Scale is not the enemy.

Capital is not the enemy.

Technology is not the enemy.

Corporations are not the enemy merely because they are corporations.

The fight is ownership versus captivity.

Useful Intermediaries Should Earn for Useful Work

Not every middle layer is waste.

Some intermediaries solve real problems.

They aggregate demand.

Provide trust.

Finance inventory.

Manage risk.

Verify quality.

Create distribution.

Perform compliance.

Coordinate complexity.

Maintain infrastructure.

Provide expertise.

Useful intermediaries should earn for useful work.

The harder question is what happens after the function is performed.

Does the intermediary receive economics proportionate to useful value created, or does control of the middle become control over both sides of the relationship?

Does the layer improve the transaction, or does the transaction begin to exist partly to preserve the layer?

Does the provider help a business become more capable, or does the business become more dependent every year it succeeds?

Does an organization created to solve a problem have a path to shrink when the problem shrinks, or does the problem become part of the organization's reason to survive?

Those questions deserve evidence, not predetermined guilt.

The deeper examination of corporations, nonprofits, foundations, universities, unions, financial intermediaries, political institutions, and other human-created entities belongs below this Charter in a dedicated institutional analysis.

The purpose is not to prove that institutions are bad.

The purpose is to identify what each structure does well, what it costs, where it fails, where functions duplicate, where incentives distort results, and where inefficiency is available value that can be redirected toward the Seven.

A supposed inefficiency that proves useful should be kept.

A beloved mechanism that proves extractive should change.

Truth determines the answer.

Exit Is Part of Freedom

Formal freedom is not the whole test.

A worker can be legally free to leave and economically unable to carry benefits, credentials, or ownership.

A small business can be contractually free to switch and operationally unable to survive the migration.

A creator can be free to leave and lose the direct relationship that made the enterprise valuable.

A public body can be free to change vendors and discover the public function cannot continue without the old vendor.

A community can be free to select another verifier while every practical record remains locked inside the first.

The stronger question is whether the person or institution can leave and continue.

Where lawful and technically practical:

workers should carry earned capability;

businesses should retain lawful operating memory;

creators should retain lawful rights and direct relationships;

public bodies should preserve continuity of public functions;

communities should not become captive to one access provider or verifier.

Security is real.

Privacy is real.

Intellectual property is real.

Technical limits are real.

Interoperability is not absolute.

But those realities should not become universal excuses for captivity.

The Exit Must Work

The practical test is continuity after a change, not simply permission to request a change. Can a business still serve customers after moving its lawful records? Can a patient still obtain medically appropriate care when a contractor changes? Can a public body still perform its function when a vendor leaves? Can a creator still reach the audience under the rights actually held?

The answer includes actual transition costs, security, responsibilities, compatible records, and the people able to execute the move. A provider that performs indispensable work should receive truthful credit. A provider that makes itself indispensable by withholding what belongs to the customer should face a different judgment.

The Trust, a community body, a credential network, or an SI service carrying the Covenant's name must pass the same test. Human independence is not demonstrated by replacing an old unavoidable institution with a new unavoidable institution.

Competition becomes stronger when dependency becomes weaker.

A provider should remain valuable because staying creates value.

Not because leaving is ruinous.

No New Permanent Ruling Class

The Charter applies the standard to the Covenant's own institutions too.

Mission protection may require trusts, transfer limits, public-benefit structures, worker participation, community rights, independent oversight, voting protections, or other lawful controls.

Those mechanisms can protect purpose.

They can also concentrate power.

A good origin does not create permanent immunity from scrutiny.

No Covenant institution should become unchallengeable because it began with the right words.

No certification should become a private toll road.

No verifier should become the owner of truth.

No benefits institution should treat members as captive revenue.

No community trust should become detached from the community.

No worker-owned enterprise becomes exempt from the same human standard because workers own it.

The objective is not to transfer permanent dominance from one class of institutions to another.

It is to make human beings more practically powerful than the mechanisms created to serve them.

Announced Public Benefit Is Not Yet Independent Human Control

October 2026 brings useful tests. Anthropic's October 18 announcement described a Public Benefit Fund, an initial five-percent equity commitment subject to stockholder approval, and a mechanism for additional shares at board discretion. Such a vehicle may finance valuable public-interest work, but its creation, governance, actual transfer of equity, beneficiaries, distribution decisions, and durable effects should be established by documents and outcomes. A public-benefit fund need not provide each individual with an owned stake or a practical exit to deliver worthwhile public benefits; it simply should not be described as doing what its instruments do not do.

The October 19 pledge to give one SpaceX share to each American child in 2027 presents a distinct question. Individual share distribution may become meaningful ownership if it is actually completed under terms that establish entitlement and usable rights. As announced, the pledge alone does not establish eligibility, account custody, transfer restrictions, voting rights, a trading market, tax treatment, or how the eventual recipient may exercise control. Nor is it evidence that the pledgor adopted this Charter or responded to our work.

The same test applies to our own proposal for the next generation. The Covenant's Family Accelerator, built on the Father-to-Future principle, remains a proposal until it is funded, lawfully structured, and delivering a stake a family can actually hold.

The governing inquiry is not whether the announcement sounds generous. It is whether the human receives a lasting asset, service, protection, capability, or economic choice under lawful, verifiable conditions. The Charter extends the inquiry to firms that promise worker profit-sharing, creators royalties, portable accounts, open standards, and protection from model misuse. Announcements deserve attention. Completed bargains deserve the label result.

Part 10 · Greater Capability Must Produce Greater Human Capability

The smallest version of the SI future asks how many current jobs can be preserved.

The larger version protects the human being while allowing productive organization to change.

That opens a different question:

Can greater machine capability produce greater human capability?

Released Human Capacity Is an Economic Asset

The economic discussion becomes too small when it counts only labor removed.

Productivity can release human capacity.

The person whose day was consumed by repetitive administration may possess creative ability the old job never used.

A skilled tradesperson may understand a field problem no software company has seen.

A caregiver may recognize a service gap invisible to professional planners.

A young person may understand a cultural market before a credentialing system recognizes the skill.

A displaced worker may possess the experience required to become a supplier, instructor, owner, mentor, researcher, or founder.

The unused idea does not appear on a balance sheet.

The business never attempted does not appear as a lost company.

The invention never pursued does not appear in a productivity report.

The child receiving less time from an exhausted parent does not appear as a labor-market cost.

The neighborhood problem somebody understood but never had time to solve does not appear as suppressed productive capacity.

Absence from the accounting does not make the capacity imaginary.

A more productive civilization can gain something larger than cheaper production.

It can gain human capacity.

Creativity Is Economic Capacity

Creativity is often treated as a luxury that arrives after serious economic work is finished.

That misunderstands how economic life changes.

New businesses begin as ideas.

New products begin as ideas.

Scientific hypotheses begin as ideas.

Design improvements begin as ideas.

New institutions begin as ideas.

New cultural forms begin as ideas.

Super Intelligence can lower the cost of turning some of those ideas into experiments through research, planning, drafting, modeling, translation, customer discovery, bookkeeping, administration, technical support, and comparison.

The opportunity is larger than producing the same old things with fewer people.

It includes making more human beings capable of building something that did not exist before.

Count More Than Jobs

Jobs matter.

They are not the only measure.

An economy can lose one job and gain one business.

A repetitive task can disappear while an ownership role appears.

Required hours can fall while productive output rises.

A credential gate can lose importance while verified capability gains importance.

A worker can move from payroll into a supplier company the worker actually owns.

A person previously lacking institutional scale can become economically viable.

The scorecard therefore has to be richer than a job count.

Employment.

Income.

Benefits.

Purchasing power.

Hours.

Ownership.

Enterprise formation.

Business survival.

Capability.

Mobility.

Family stability.

Community wealth.

Public return.

Truth.

Agency.

The Seven require more than one number.

Education Must Become More Powerful

Super Intelligence does not make education obsolete.

It makes weak educational bargains harder to defend.

Universities matter.

Professors matter.

Laboratories matter.

Apprenticeships matter.

Libraries matter.

Mentors matter.

Clinical practice matters.

Field experience matters.

Deep study matters.

Human relationships matter.

The question is what the person will know, what the person will be able to do, how SI changes the work, what still requires deep human expertise, what the credential actually opens, what the education costs, and whether the bargain still holds.

Education Can Follow the Learner

The continuing relationship can be the learner's development across institutions, employment, and independent work. Universities, apprenticeships, teachers, mentors, training enterprises, and

credible verifiers can compete and cooperate to advance that development. They earn through demonstrable learning, deep expertise, and the opportunity their work opens.

SI can support tutoring, practice, translation, research, and documentation. It must help a person develop judgment rather than make a fluent answer appear to be knowledge already learned. A credential records a real accomplishment; it does not make one institution the owner of a person's future.

The degree is neither sacred nor useless. The question is what capability the education creates, what opportunity it opens, what it costs, and whether the bargain still holds.

A degree can represent deep learning, discipline, relationships, professional preparation, and a signal markets trust.

It can also become an expensive gate whose cost and promised economic return no longer match.

The Charter does not replace degree worship with skills worship.

Skills-first can become another gatekeeping slogan if it ignores knowledge, judgment, tacit experience, or the human relationships through which expertise develops.

The forward model can be plural.

University where university adds indispensable value.

Apprenticeship where work-based learning is stronger.

Employer-supported learning where enterprise directly benefits.

Shorter credentials where shorter credentials are enough.

Independent learning where capability can be honestly verified.

Continuous capability in the use of SI throughout serious professions and trades.

The future should contain more knowledgeable human beings using more capable tools.

Not less knowledgeable human beings obeying more capable tools.

Human Judgment in the Use of SI

Literacy in the use of SI does not mean knowing which button to press.

It means knowing how to direct a system, question it, verify it, recognize uncertainty, protect confidential information, compare sources, understand provenance, preserve records, supervise delegated agents, identify manipulation, and know when human expertise must control.

A tool can help a novice move faster.

It does not automatically make the novice an expert.

An experienced person who refuses to learn new capability can lose leverage.

A technically fluent beginner can still lack the judgment required for consequential work.

A young person without access can fall behind quickly.

A worker with decades of tacit field knowledge can become dramatically more capable when SI helps turn that knowledge into analysis, documentation, training, estimating, or enterprise.

The future learning system has to connect all of those realities.

Human Stewardship treats expertise as something to multiply, not merely a cost to remove.

Small Business May Be One of the Largest Opportunities

Large institutions became large partly because scale purchased administrative capability.

Accounting.

Research.

Compliance.

Legal support.

Marketing.

Customer service.

Scheduling.

Procurement.

Analysis.

Translation.

Technology.

Management.

Small firms often competed against that institutional stack with the owner doing five jobs after dinner.

Super Intelligence can change that equation.

Large institutions receive the same tools, so no victory is automatic.

Capital still matters.

Customers still have to be won.

Quality matters.

Insurance matters.

Leadership matters.

Competition matters.

Failure remains possible.

But the cost of institutional capability can fall.

That can narrow the minimum efficient scale for some forms of enterprise.

That can mean more people capable of building without waiting for institutional permission.

That is Opportunity becoming lived experience.

When the business becomes durable, it can become Ownership too.

Part 11 · The Human Stewardship Bargain Across the Covenant

The parent Covenant establishes a human destination before institutional invitations. The people are its authors and beneficiaries, not a technology input, data pool, or optional constituency. The Charter brings extraordinary capability beneath that premise. It does not create another institutional party called SI.

Four distinct man-made institutional functions are presently identified: Government; Enterprise; Civic and Community Organizations; and Media. An institution may exercise more than one, and the particular agreements must reflect that overlap. This is an editorial classification, not a claim of universal representation, institutional acceptance, or binding signatures. The judiciary remains constitutionally independent within government; its potential separate recognition is a continuing question, not a decided fifth category.

The People Establish the Human Destination

An individual brings judgment, labor, creation, purchasing choices, relationships, public participation, and conscience. The person may be a citizen with voting rights and other constitutional responsibilities, or another human being whose lawful rights and status are governed by applicable law. No Covenant steward can create or withdraw those rights by private declaration. The Seven set the proposed quality-of-life destination without making human worth depend on membership or religious assent.

Government · Public Power Remains Public

Government may apply SI to make law more comprehensible, reduce administrative error, measure spending, verify procurement, and support services. It must keep the responsible official, legal authorization, record, available review, privacy limits, and due process visible. Public agencies can participate only through lawful procedures. Courts cannot pledge particular case results or replace impartial judgment with a scoring system built by private Covenant authors.

Enterprise · Capability and Return Together

Enterprise brings research, financing, innovation, productive organization, risk, jobs, benefits, and the capacity to deliver products and services at scale. It may profit from stronger, more capable customers. An aligned provider would disclose meaningful service terms, exercise proportionate data rights, make customer departure practical, and make any offered share of productivity, property, or intellectual creation legally effective. A label does not substitute for a signed and enforceable transaction.

Civic and Community Organizations · Actual Representation

Voluntary associations, nonprofits, neighborhood organizations, professional and cultural communities, learning networks, and philanthropic institutions may aggregate knowledge and organize local commitments. A nonprofit or a self-appointed council does not automatically speak for everybody in a neighborhood. Its relevant constituency, governance, safeguards, money, terms, and ability to be challenged must be identified. Communities should be able to negotiate legitimate return from land, skill, culture, utilities, infrastructure, and public advantage when law and agreement permit.

Media · Independence and the Public Record

Journalism and public information exercise functions distinct from enterprise even where a newsroom is commercially owned. Media can use SIEC to research, explain, verify, and correct, and its own editorial judgments must remain independent. Participation does not oblige a journalist to approve the Covenant, give all propositions equal treatment, or refrain from examining its claims. Lawful speech and press freedom are protected while voluntary conduct can be measured against truth, provenance, disclosures, and correction.

Family and Chosen Care Remain the Human Standard

Family remains among the Seven, where care, belonging, and continuity belong. A person with no immediate relatives remains fully included. Caregiving arrangements are not an extra institutional party to be organized by compulsion. If extraordinary capability truly serves people, it should make care and belonging easier to sustain without quietly shifting every social cost into unpaid household labor.

Each actual undertaking—agency procurement, license, software contract, trade union agreement, community-benefit covenant, patient right, creator license, training credential, press practice, or ownership instrument—must identify responsible actors, powers, duties, value, proof, remedies, and exit. Unbundling roles clarifies accountability without building a new permanent command center.

Lawful Participation and Citizenship

The Covenant's Dignified Path to Citizenship brings the people's constructive terms before the country: lawful employment, training, worker protection, family dignity, productive participation, and an earned path. The public advances the proposition and calls on Congress to enact its lawful instruments. Representatives answer for the positions and actions they take.

SI can help people learn, document capability, understand procedures, compare labor terms, form lawful enterprises, and assemble accurate records. It can help public institutions administer law clearly and make accountable human review easier to reach. Workers already in the lawful economy and prospective participants are not set against each other through vulnerability or wage suppression.

Existing status and work-authorization law remains controlling until lawfully changed. The capability grants neither status nor permission outside law. Consequential screening and status decisions remain with accountable public authority, fair procedures, and a means of correcting error.

The destination and proposed terms come from the people. Congress's legislative duties carry the proposal through the constitutional process; they do not replace its human authorship. Extraordinary capability strengthens understanding and productive participation beneath that bargain.

The Three Governing Sentences Travel

Benefits follow the person.

Ownership follows the work.

Community wealth follows the value created.

Those sentences acquire new meaning when SI increases productive reach.

Benefits following the person gives continuity to a human being whose work relationship may change faster.

Ownership following the work creates a path for productivity gains to become durable assets rather than temporary access.

Community wealth following the value created places host communities, public infrastructure, local businesses, and long-term ownership inside the bargain before the value leaves.

These are directions for architecture.

The exact instruments can change.

The human purpose does not.

Across the Covenant Industries

Skilled Builders can use SI to strengthen estimating, diagnostics, planning, training, code research, procurement, safety, documentation, and worker-owned enterprise.

Cannabis Equity & Unity can use it for compliance, logistics, cultivation intelligence, business administration, consumer information, public proof, and independent enterprise.

Creator & Culture can use it for production, analysis, translation, rights administration, provenance, direct relationships, distribution, and creator-owned business.

Human Stewardship does not tell those industries what their missions are.

The parent Covenant and their own governing documents do that.

The Charter travels through them asking whether advanced capability preserves human authority, increases capability, makes responsibility visible, follows productivity, widens ownership, protects exit, respects lawful rights, and produces more of the Seven.

Across the Proof Lanes

In Lead Pipe 2050, SI can assist planning, estimating, scheduling, training, mapping, documentation, inspection support, public proof, and company formation without replacing the field judgment or public accountability required to put safe water into a home.

In the Chicago Unity Memorial, SI can help preserve family-controlled stories, organize archives, support research, translate materials, and widen access without taking remembrance away from the families whose authority gives the institution legitimacy.

In the Tolton Home Ownership Initiative, it can help families understand pathways, compare obligations, manage documents, and strengthen administration without turning housing decisions into unappealable automated scores.

In MusicTown 2030, it can expand creator capability, production, rights administration, analysis, audience relationships, and enterprise formation while keeping creator identity, ownership, community equity, and lawful rights inside the bargain.

In the forward application, Quantum & SIEC Alignment, it governs human authority, public return, productivity, physical infrastructure, and ownership wherever advanced capability becomes consequential.

The technology crosses the architecture.

Stewardship crosses with it.

One Crew. All Seven.

Watch what SIEC can do inside one Lead Pipe 2050 crew.

A crew leader uses SI to read a block's service-line records, estimate the job, coordinate the street, document every inspection, and keep the company's books. The field judgment stays with the crew. The license stays human. The inspection stays real.

Health, because a child drinks clean water and the record shows the line was replaced.

Stability, because each worker's training, credentials, and safety history follow the worker.

Peace and Safety, because a lawful trade replaces a dangerous path, and every machine action has a human who can stop it.

Family, because hours saved on paperwork come home as time with the people who count on them.

Opportunity, because the estimating and bookkeeping that once required an office now fit in a crew leader's hands.

Ownership, because the business memory, records, and company value belong to the crew that built them, not to the software provider.

Truth and Respect, because the costs, the savings, and the gain are on open books.

One crew. All Seven.

The Governing Questions

The Charter can therefore be reduced to a durable set of questions even as models, chips, interfaces, and business arrangements change:

Who has authority?

Who can stop the system?

Who remains responsible?

What human capability increased?

Who advanced?

What happened to the old labor requirement?

Where did the productivity gain go?

Did materially multiplied human reach create materially greater human participation in the gain?

What follows the person?

What ownership was created?

Can the person, business, creator, community, or public institution leave without losing what lawfully belongs to them?

What public advantage contributed to the value?

What public return followed?

How are identity, rights, provenance, and authenticity protected where consequence requires it?

How can consequential error be challenged?

What happened to human time?

What became possible for families?

What became possible for people who previously lacked institutional scale?

Did the infrastructure bargain strengthen the host community?

Did useful intermediaries create enough value to justify their cost and control?

Did the architecture broaden ownership or deepen captivity?

Who established the human objective, and who was asked to answer it?

Did greater capability strengthen the public's ability to understand, organize, and judge?

Were complete-standard commitments, specific policy positions, and actual governing conduct recorded distinctly?

And finally:

DID GREATER CAPABILITY PRODUCE MORE HEALTH, STABILITY, PEACE AND SAFETY, FAMILY, OPPORTUNITY, OWNERSHIP, AND TRUTH AND RESPECT?

That is the human stewardship bargain.

Part 12 · A Living Charter and the Layers Beneath It

Models will change.

Agents will change.

Interfaces will change.

Chips will change.

Infrastructure will change.

Business models will change.

Capabilities will change.

A governing document tied to one generation of software would age with the software.

The durable questions are human:

authority,

responsibility,

advancement,

ownership,

portability,

truth,

public return,

family consequence,

human time,

and the Seven.

That is why this can remain a Living Charter.

The technology can change without requiring the human standard to start over every six months.

Public Proof Begins With Our Own Work

A Charter that asks institutions to expose hidden bargains cannot ask to be trusted merely because its intentions are good.

Truth applies here too.

Over time, alignment should become observable.

Human authority should be real.

Workers should actually advance.

Businesses should actually form.

Ownership should actually broaden.

Productivity should actually be measured where it is claimed.

Public inputs should be identifiable.

Public returns should be delivered where promised.

Community commitments should be kept.

Failures should be surfaced.

Corrections should be made.

Outcomes should be tested against the Seven.

The proof must include who controlled the relationship before and after, whether a promised exit works, who retained the asset, and whether costs were transferred to people absent from the announcement. Headline capability and average savings cannot settle the human bargain.

An aligned claim must distinguish an announced commitment, a tested mechanism, an executed agreement, a delivered service, and a verified outcome. In public accountability, commitment to the complete standard, a position on a mechanism, and governing conduct and results are recorded separately. Conditional support, disagreement, an alternative, and no response retain their actual meaning. Our own Trusts, funds, verifiers, enterprises, and governing bodies remain subject to that truth discipline.

A Living document has to remain alive enough to learn.

A mechanism that fails should change.

A wrong assumption should be corrected.

An institution that performs better than expected should receive truthful credit.

A supposed inefficiency that proves useful should be kept.

An ownership structure that creates new captivity should be rejected.

Truth and Respect apply to our architecture too.

Questions and corrections are welcome through thechicagodeal.us. When we get something wrong, the correction will appear in the next dated change note.

A Living Edition Should Respect the Reader's Time

Public developments can bring an existing question into broader human consciousness without changing the principle that already governed it.

When that happens, a Living Charter should be able to respond without pretending the entire document became new.

A first-time reader should be able to read the current Living Edition as a complete governing document.

A returning reader should be able to see a concise, dated summary of material changes, go directly to the revised passages, distinguish substantive revisions from smaller editorial refinements, and reach the prior public edition when history matters.

The public should be able to see what changed, why it changed, where to examine it, which edition preceded it, and which edition now governs.

Living does not mean unstable. It means capable of learning in public without hiding the record.

The Lower Layers Carry the Build

The Charter does not have to interrupt its own argument every time a reader may want more detail.

The lower-layer document family is designed to carry the heavier work. These documents are in development; as each one is published, it will be listed at thechicagodeal.us.

The Evidence Record carries the living evidentiary path behind governing propositions and points deeper where a subject requires its own dossier.

Public Advantage Carries Public Obligation carries public inputs, incentives, infrastructure, utility economics, procurement, public cost, ownership, host-community return, and measurable obligation.

Shared Productivity Value carries productivity accounting, labor reduction, attributable technology cost, gain allocation, purchasing power, ownership, benefits, transition, and returned time.

Portable Benefits / Person-Centered Benefits Architecture carries funding, administration, portability, healthcare purchasing, risk structures, cross-industry participation, and operating design.

SI Infrastructure / Data Center Alignment carries power, water, grids, siting, generation, property tax, host-community economics, suppliers, resilience, ownership, and infrastructure return.

Consequential Systems and Human Authority carries delegated authority, agents, meaningful human review, enterprise responsibility, government use, permissions, logs, limits, revocation, and operational safeguards.

Provenance, Rights, Identity, and Truth carries licensing, consent, provenance, authenticity, identity, creator interests, appeals, and technical verification.

Human-Created Institutions and Economic Intermediation carries the evidence-based examination of institutional purpose, actual result, incentives, overhead, duplication, useful intermediation, capture, efficiency, and possible improved structures.

Education / Portable Capability carries literacy in the use of SI, subject knowledge, tacit knowledge, credentials, verified capability, small-business capability, and education economics.

Quantum & SIEC Alignment carries the frontier application while remaining under the same parent Covenant and the human stewardship principles stated here.

Titles can mature as the Living architecture matures.

The separation of work is already clear.

The Charter carries the human bargain.

The lower layers carry the proof and the build.

Strong Language Carries Responsibility

The Charter will not use strength as a substitute for evidence.

It will not use the existence of evidence as an excuse to weaken what the evidence supports.

Disputed historical claims, technical provenance questions, legal ownership questions, intelligence claims, control theories, bot theories, foreign-influence claims, technical-filter claims, and other contested matters do not become governing truth merely because they are provocative.

Research determines what survives.

The human argument does not require speculation to become strong.

Never let confidence become unsupported certainty. Never let evidence discipline turn into timid prose.

That is the standard for a Living Charter capable of standing in public.

Closing Charge · The Human Assignment

The public has already been asked to believe that SI is powerful enough to transform nearly every major institution in the country.

Human Stewardship takes that claim seriously enough to demand a destination worthy of the capability.

The technology does not choose the bargain.

Human beings do.

We decide what enterprises reward.

We decide what workers learn.

We decide what contracts say.

We decide how authority is delegated.

We decide what public advantages require.

We decide how communities negotiate.

We decide whether productivity becomes only a higher valuation or also human advancement.

We decide whether time saved becomes another unit of production or part of a freer human life.

We decide whether children inherit more capability or merely more sophisticated gatekeepers.

We decide whether small business gains institutional reach or becomes more dependent.

We decide whether education becomes more powerful human development or more expensive permission.

We decide whether workers become disposable or become more capable economic actors.

We decide whether SI infrastructure becomes a symbol of fear or the physical foundation of a future whose bargain was negotiated in the open.

We decide whether SI becomes another extraction mechanism.

Or an instrument of freedom.

The technology does not make that choice.

We do.

Stewardship cannot be outsourced.

Not to software. Not to corporations. Not to government. Not to universities, experts, investors, standards bodies, or the Chicago Deal. Human beings remain responsible for the future human beings will live in.

The People Keep Their Freedom

The people do not have to become one ideological bloc to possess lawful economic leverage.

They already share the Seven.

Aligned households, workers, creators, businesses, communities, and citizens can move purchasing, labor, capital, attention, culture, skill, and trust toward structures that build the outcomes they value.

That power becomes constructive when it builds alternatives instead of merely punishing enemies.

The objective is not to make the haves poor.

It is to make ownership and capability broad enough that the categories themselves lose some of their power.

The person should become more capable without becoming less independent.

The public should become better able to set its human agenda, bring constructive propositions, and judge the representatives entrusted with power. The Seven give that agenda continuity. Extraordinary capability helps people exercise their authority; it does not confer it.

The business should become more intelligent without becoming more captive.

The worker should become more productive without becoming less human.

The family should receive more options, not fewer.

The community should participate in the infrastructure it helps make valuable.

The citizen should remain able to find and challenge the human authority behind consequential public action.

The Opportunity Is Larger Than Job Protection

The smallest version of the future tries to preserve as many current job descriptions as possible.

The larger version protects the human being while allowing productive organization to change.

That opens the whole question.

Can SI help produce a country with more human capability?

More small enterprises?

More ownership?

More productive people participating in multiplied output?

More portable benefits?

More family choice?

More usable time?

More transparent institutions?

More resilient infrastructure?

More accessible education?

More competition?

More truth?

More people capable of building without waiting for institutional permission?

The Charter claims the right to ask the whole question.

The Life That Could Be Lived

There are people alive today who remember opportunities they never had.

Time they did not possess.

Knowledge they could not reach.

A business they could not afford to build.

A skill they never had time to learn.

An institution they could not navigate.

A child they wished they had more time with.

An idea they could never properly test.

A problem they understood but lacked the tools to solve.

Human Stewardship cannot give yesterday back.

It can refuse to make the same bargain for the next generation.

A child growing up with extraordinary information should gain the judgment required to use it.

A worker using the Extraordinary Capability SI enables should have a path into the value created.

A parent benefiting from greater productivity should have more family choices, not fewer.

A creator should be able to use powerful tools without surrendering identity.

A business owner should be able to become more capable without becoming less independent.

A community hosting the infrastructure of the future should participate in the future.

A citizen living under consequential automated systems should still be able to find the human being responsible.

A country becoming more technologically powerful should become more humanly capable with it.

Stewardship Is Responsibility and Advancement

Extraordinary knowledge and capability in human hands create responsibility.

Wasting the capability through fear would be a failure.

Using it only to centralize wealth would be a failure.

Using it to manipulate people more efficiently would be a failure.

Using it to remove human responsibility would be a failure.

Refusing to use the Extraordinary Capability SI enables because transition requires courage would also be a failure.

The responsible answer is neither retreat nor surrender.

It is stewardship.

Point the capability at human problems.

Put human beings in authority.

Give workers the tools.

Open capability to entrepreneurs.

Strengthen families.

Expand ownership.

Protect truth.

Build the infrastructure.

Expose the economics.

Share legitimate gains.

Let honest capital prosper.

Let people grow with it.

Prove the outcome.

We Do Not Have to Shrink the Technology to Protect the Human Being

Human stewardship is not a retreat from capability.

It is what makes greater capability usable without surrender.

The future does not belong to technology alone.

It does not belong to government, corporations, investors, universities, laboratories, standards bodies, foundations, political parties, experts, or any permanent class of stewards.

Each may have a lawful and valuable role.

The future belongs to the human beings who will live in it.

The old system often asks people to adapt after the value has moved.

The Covenant places people inside the architecture before the value moves.

Benefits follow the person.

Ownership follows the work.

Community wealth follows the value created.

PUBLIC ADVANTAGE CARRIES PUBLIC OBLIGATION.

When capability multiplies, human participation in the gain should be designed before extraction becomes the default.

The person becomes the continuing relationship. Institutions compete to serve that relationship well. The offer to enterprise is a stronger customer and a more productive market. The offer to people is capability that increases independence, protection, ownership, and affordable choice. Neither side receives a promised outcome without proof.

When technology returns time, economic stability should make the time usable.

When technology expands institutional power, it should also expand the capacity of people to understand, compare, build, own, question, and leave.

When technology makes the previously impractical practical, the people should be free to ask which old limitations remain necessary.

The Human Assignment

Keep the human destination with the people.

Strengthen their ability to understand, organize, build, and judge.

Protect the human being.

Multiply human capability.

Keep consequential authority accountable.

Make responsibility visible.

Make productivity visible.

Open ownership.

Carry benefits and capability with the person.

Protect truth.

Protect lawful rights.

Invite human talent wherever it currently sits.

Build the infrastructure.

Measure the public bargain.

Build alternatives.

Let evidence correct the mechanism.

Aim the Extraordinary Capability SI enables at the Seven.

Then see what becomes possible.

The Seven Remain the Destination

Health.

Stability.

Peace and Safety.

Family.

Opportunity.

Ownership.

Truth and Respect.

Super Intelligence does not replace the Covenant.

It gives us Extraordinary Capability with which to pursue it.

The future should not be measured only by how intelligent the machines become.

It should be measured by what greater intelligence allows human beings to build.

More health.

More stability.

More peace and safety.

Stronger families.

Wider opportunity.

Broader ownership.

More truth.

More respect.

More usable time.

More capability.

More human freedom.

Technology remains the instrument. Human beings determine the assignment.

All glory to God. Let's build.

Glossary

The Seven — Health, Stability, Peace and Safety, Family, Opportunity, Ownership, and Truth and Respect: the human destination established in the Covenant. They are not ranked. The solution is all Seven.

Super Intelligence (SI) — the advanced machine-intelligence systems most people still call artificial intelligence, or AI. The name alone does not claim that every system exceeds human intelligence.

Extraordinary Capability — what SI can provide or enable: reasoning, research, analysis, creation, communication, information organization, tool use, and help with human work.

SIEC — Super Intelligence & Extraordinary Capability, named together. The name also marks the moment Street Intelligence met Super Intelligence.

Street Intelligence — knowledge built by people living through the consequences: how deals work, who holds the power in a transaction, and whether a promise can be delivered.

Human Stewardship — accountable human authority over consequential uses of SIEC, together with the responsibility and advancement that come with it.

Consequential system — a system that can materially affect health, work, money, benefits, safety, liberty, education, infrastructure, or another serious human interest.

The three governing sentences — Benefits follow the person. Ownership follows the work. Community wealth follows the value created.

Public Advantage Carries Public Obligation — where public research, land, infrastructure, incentives, or procurement contribute to private value, public return belongs inside the bargain.

Shared Productivity Value — the accounting of what a productivity gain became: compensation, ownership, lower prices or better service, enterprise growth, public return, and human time.

Four institutional functions — Government; Enterprise; Civic and Community Organizations; and Media. Each is invited to answer the terms the people establish.

People's Benefits & Ownership Trust — the Covenant's proposed member-owned, self-funded instrument for benefits that follow the person. It is a proposal, not coverage already in force.

Ownership Vote and On-Record Requirement — the Covenant's public accountability instruments that turn alignment into a visible answer.

Dignified Path to Citizenship — the Covenant's proposal for lawful employment, training, worker protection, family dignity, productive participation, and an earned path, placed before Congress.

Family Accelerator — the Covenant's proposal, built on the Father-to-Future principle, to fund the next generation's stake.

Evidence Record — the lower-layer record of evidence behind the Charter's propositions. In development.

Living Edition — the current governing edition. One governs at a time. Material changes are dated and summarized, and earlier editions remain available.

What Changed in the October 2026 Living Edition

Dated October 30, 2026. This edition replaces the September 2026 Living Edition as the current governing edition of the Charter. The Seven, the three governing sentences, and the Charter's place directly beneath the Covenant are unchanged. The full dated record of each revision is kept in the Edition record at thechicagodeal.us.

- **The name and the terms.** The Charter is now The Human SIEC Charter. Super Intelligence (SI), Extraordinary Capability, and SIEC are defined near the front. (Terminology and Continuity)
- **The people set the terms.** The people establish the human destination before any institution answers it. Four institutional functions are invited to answer: Government; Enterprise; Civic and Community Organizations; and Media. Family remains one of the Seven, not an institutional party. This replaces the earlier five-party roster. (Opening; Part 11)
- **God beside us.** A section on faith and responsibility: our worth comes from God, conscience stays human, and faith is never offered as proof that a system or a promise works. (God Beside Us · The Responsibility We Accept)
- **New practical sections.** An ordinary day with SIEC; the bargain before a job is cut; traceable authority when an instrument acts; journalism's freedom to question the Charter; a public investment and resource ledger for infrastructure; and October 2026's announced public-benefit commitments, tested against delivered results. (Parts 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9)
- **New for readers.** The Charter in Plain Chicago; where this Charter comes from and how we made it; a standard for SI used with children and other vulnerable people; a worked example of one Lead Pipe 2050 crew and all Seven; this glossary; and a way to send questions and corrections.

Appendix · Source, Status, and Verification Notes

This Living Edition does not establish a technology performance result, law, product certification, clinical authority, owner right, executed worker share, functioning Trust, public program, completed community investment, or institutional assent. People and organizations must know when a proposition is an aspiration, when a term is negotiated, when a contract binds, when an instrument becomes operational, and when evidence proves results.

- Edition record: the dated history of this Charter, including the September 2026 Living Edition and each October revision, is kept at thechicagodeal.us.
- U.S. Constitution and Article VI / First Amendment, National Archives: https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/constitution-transcript ; https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/bill-of-rights-transcript
- Declaration of Independence, National Archives: https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/declaration-transcript
- White House, September 29, 2026, Executive Order 14434: https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2026/09/inaugurating-the-era-of-super-intelligence/
- White House Accord on Super Intelligence, September 29, 2026, text: https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/white-house-accord-super-intelligence
- OpenAI, September 16, 2026, model misalignment reporting framework and six incident reports (context for Part 6): https://openai.com/index/model-misalignment-reporting-framework/
- Anthropic, October 18, 2026, Public Benefit Fund announcement: https://www.anthropic.com/news/public-benefit-fund
- Associated Press, October 19, 2026, SpaceX share pledge: https://apnews.com/article/b3312da37a0e340be275295073055e00

Deep verification belongs to the companion records: model capability and risk testing; enforceable data and creator rights; worker compensation, displacement, productivity, tax and ownership instruments; insurance, reinsurance and member-control arrangements; administrative-law and constitutional questions; independent reviews and human stop-control efficacy; privacy and technical provenance; energy, water, land, electricity, tariffs and other infrastructure impacts; Chicago lane baseline and delivery; and fiscal or economic claims. Dated examples are checked again whenever a new Living Edition is issued.